

From: Richard Cordero

To:

Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2014 12:13 AM

Subject: Re: Fw: [victimsofalscuss] Proposal to Reporter G. Greenwald and The Guardian of two stories & documentary that put NSA's abuse and its victims in context

Mr. Gary Kendall
Mr. Mark Ferran
Veterans and Advocates of Honest Judiciaries

Dear Mr. Kendall, Mr. Ferran, Veterans, and Advocates,

I would like to acknowledge that you, Mr. Kendall, did keep your word by emailing the link to my articles to your fellow veterans. They are now also in the file at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/14-6-10DrRCordero-GKendall_MFerran_Veterans.pdf.

Mr. Kendall, you wrote, "I wish that I could do more to assist with the issues that you raise". The conviction and sincerity with which you write makes me think that you can.

Mr. Ferran, you correctly pointed out that short articles targeted to specific audiences and cases affecting them can be useful to reach them. That is the approach that I took when writing the articles in the pages numbered jur:Roman #; Lsch:#; and ol:# in the above-mentioned file; and in this email addressing veterans.

A. Shared interest of veterans and other victims of judges' wrongdoing

1. Veterans are a large and respected national audience. They command the attention of the media. That attention has only been made keener by the VA Scandal. It has exposed how high VA officers as well as low VA employees put their interest in bonuses ahead of veterans' life and death health care needs.

2. Federal judges too engage in self-interested wrongdoing in pursuit of material^[fn213] (jur:27§2), professional^[69] (56§§e-f), and social benefits(62§g; a&p:1¶2nd) at the expense of veterans and the rest of the people and their rights, property, liberty, and lives.

* NOTE: All (parenthetical) and [bracketed] blue text is references to supporting passages and footnotes, respectively, found in my study of the Federal Judiciary, Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting, athttp://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/14-6-10DrRCordero-GKendall_MFerran_Veterans.pdf. There the blue text represents active internal links that facilitate jumping to the corresponding references to check them.

3. The judges do so seduced by a breaker of moral and ethical restraints more effective than anything that VA staff have: risklessness. Whereas 2,131 federal judges were in office on 30sep11^[13], in the 225 years since the creation of their Judiciary in 1789, the number of them impeached and removed is 8!^[14]

4. Such historic record of irremovability in practice has assured them that they are not only life-tenured, but also in effect unaccountable. They form an unelected and undemocratic class with the privileged status that they have arrogated to themselves through self-exoneration(ol:92¶11a), and - immunization(jur:24§§b-d), and abuse with impunity: Judges Above the Law.

5. What would VA staff not do if they too were life-tenured, irremovable, and unaccountable? Federal judges do anything(5§3), for everything is riskless for them. Thus, veterans share an interest with allvictims of wrongdoing judges and advocates of honest judiciaries: to expose them and their judiciary and bring about substantial, historic judicial reform(jur:xlvi§G).

B. Proposal: Veterans hold media-covered presentation of judges' wrongdoing

6. The proposal is for you to lobby veterans and their associations to use the heightened media at-tention on their abuse by VA to hold meetings to which they attract the media and where I make presentations of both the available evidence of judges' wrongdoing(21§§A-B) and the media's interest(jur:xliv§§B,E,F) in exposing it to the national public through the dissemination of that evidence and the cost-effective investigation of two unique, national cases(infra). Such evidence and investigation findings can so outrage(83§§2-3) the public as to stir it up to force politicians to investigate it and undertake judicial reform that includes holding judges accountable, disciplinable, and even liable to compensate the victims of their wrongdoing(158§§6-8).

7. The precedent for expecting even only part of the public to force politicians to do so is the Tea Party and its capacity to cause them to support its agenda under pain of being voted out of, or not into, office.

C. The indispensable role of the media in exposing judges' wrongdoing

8. Without the information-disseminating and investigative contribution of the media, it is not possible so to outrage the public at judges' wrongdoing that it compels judicial reform. Without the media, even cases of outrageous abuse remain unknown or are added as personal anecdotes to the 1.3 million^[33] new bankruptcy(28§a) cases filed every year or the rest of the 50 million^{4,5} cases filed annually in the federal and state courts and all the scores of millions of pending cases.

9. The exposure of wrongdoing judges cannot be accomplished by complaining against them before other judges. Judges judging judges has proved(24§§b-c) a failed mechanism to ensure the integrity of judges and their judiciaries. The black robe that cloaks their wrongdoing is stronger than the police's blue wall of silence: Judges have the power to take dispositive action, not just shut their mouth. They dismiss complaints against their peers or exonerate them of all charges(id.).

D. Strategy: have media members realize their interests by exposing judges

10. The strategy underlying this proposal is based on strategic thinking(Lsch:14§§2-3, ol:52§C;jur:xliv¶C) and dynamic analysis of harmonious and conflicting interests(ol:8§E, dcc:8¶11).

11. The media comprise hundreds of thousands of people and thousands of entities of different kinds and at different stages of business development. Not all of them can possibly have the same interests:

12. The New York Times does not have the same economic interests and does not apply the same strategy to pursue them as the local Daily Cry of Granny City, because the former runs a \$2 billion global business and the latter must ask for donations.

13. The publisher and editor-in-chief of a financially struggling traditional newspaper do not have the same professional interests as the journalism student or the rookie that just landed his or her first job at a digital era newssite, because their careers and their media outlets are at completely different stages of professional and industry development.

14. The host of the 2:00 p.m. radio talkshow does not reach the same audience as the TV network anchor of the 6:30 p.m. newscast so they do not have the same interests: one gets calls from housewives and the other gets to the White House to interview the president.

15. To those journalists and media outlets the investigation of wrongdoing judges means something different. The spectrum of meaning goes from professional suicide to last-chance-business-saving scoop.(jur:xlvi§§H-I: likely profile of the journalist who starts the investigation of judges)

16. Those who say that the media, all of them, are in the pocket of X and cover up Y betray their prejudices, their deficient capacity for analysis of even a simple set of facts in plain sight, and their ignorance of a bell-shaped curve distribution of statistical values(ol:19fn2 >ws:59¶124).

17. Instead, one must proceed in a discriminating way to identify those media members whose interests are in harmony or in conflict with exposing judges' wrongdoing. To persuade the largest number of them to undertake such exposure, one must strategize by performing a cost-benefit analysis from each member's point of view:

18. The first step is to find out or reasonably speculate on the member's personal, professional, economic, and reputational cost of the investigation that it is interested in avoiding or reducing; and the material and moral benefit(ol:3§F) that it can gain from breaking the story, making a scoop, or setting in motion a media investigative bandwagon.

19. The second step is to use the resulting information or speculation to present media members, in general(jur:xxxii), or each one dealt with, in particular(ol:21,37), with a plan or recommendation that makes the benefit outweigh the cost and causes them to start or join the investigation.

E. Coordinated wrongdoing judges, enabling circumstances, and schemes

20. Only one or two cases should be chosen to expose wrongdoing in the Federal Judiciary so that a sharply focused, cost-effective, Watergate-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media investigation can develop. The wrongdoing that those cases present must be obvious but simultaneously complex enough not to have been committed by individual rogue judges. Rather, it was wrongdoing(133§§4) coordinated(88§§a-c) among the judges.

21. The key factor that will naturally take the media from the investigation of the chosen cases to that of the judges as a class and of their judiciary is the enabling circumstances of coordinated wrongdoing:

22. What was necessary to be in place and working for the judges to have engaged in the coordinated wrongdoing in question?

23. The enabling circumstances of wrongdoing may have developed into schemes, that is, systems of interpersonal relations and procedures that function organically and are coordinated and run by judges to commit the wrongdoing in a more secure, effective, and beneficial manner.

24. Schemes are the most harmful form of coordinated wrongdoing because they represent wrongdoing that has been institutionalized as the judges' and their Judiciary's modus operandi. Some of them are:

- a. the judicial wrongdoing complaint dismissal scheme that dismisses 99.82% of complaints against federal judges and denies up to 100% of motions to review such dismissals(24§b);

- b. the docket clearing scheme(43§1) that disposes of 75% of appeals to the federal circuit courts with summary orders bearing only one operative word, most frequently 'affirmed', since a denial would defeat the workload-dumping objective by requiring the reversible error to be explained so that it is not committed again by the lower court on remand; the scheme expediently disposes of up to an additional 16% of appeals with reasoning so "perfunctory"^[68] that the judges themselves

mark the decisions “not for publication” and “not precedential”; all of which allows the arbitrary, biased, ad hoc exercise of raw judicial power^[69];

c. the en banc motions denial scheme that protects judges’ wrong and wrongful decisions from review by all the judges of the court(45§2), whereby ever graver wrongdoing is encouraged by an explicit or implicit ‘live and let live’ agreement that it will be covered up and riskless;

d. the financial disclosure reports scheme(104¶¶236,237), which allows judges to make a mockery of the Ethics in Government Act^[107d] by filing with other judges annual reports on their financial affairs that are meaningless, implausible, and pro forma^[213], thus enabling their concealment of assets^[107a] to evade taxes and launder money of its illegal origin^[107c]; and

e. the bankruptcy fraud scheme(66§§2-3), the most elaborate and profitable scheme since it is the main source of assets(27§2) to conceal and involves non-members of the judiciary^[169].

25. Would you trust judges who showed such dishonesty and contempt for the law and due process by participating in those schemes or covering them up with their complicit silence(Lsch:16§1) not to disregard your rights or take advantage of you when deciding a case affecting you as a party or as a member of ‘the land within the judges’ jurisdiction’?; after all, their wrongdoing is riskless.

F. Media to search only two unique, national cases that lead to coordination

26. The cases chosen to expose judges’ wrongdoing will spare the media having to search thousands of cases to ascertain that the wrongdoing must have been enabled by judges acting in coordination rather than committed by individual rogue ones. The media will only have to show that they violated the injunction “to avoid even the appearance of impropriety”^[123a].

27. There is a precedent for the consequences of appearing improper: Supreme Court Justice Abe Fortas was forced to re-sign on May 14, 1969, after Life magazine made his hold on office untenable by showing that he had engaged in financial improprieties, though the latter did not even amount to misdemeanors(92§d).

28. The outrage(83§§2-3) of the national public at the appearance of federal judges’ engaging in wrongdoing, let alone coordinated wrongdoing, can suffice to cause resignations and impeachments. The more of them, the stronger the public demand for judicial reform(158§§6-8).

29. The two cases([ol:55](#)) chosen are obviously unique and have national appeal. Their underlying queries can entice journalists into investigating them and affirmative replies to them can outrage the national public already exasperated by the VA and other scandals([ol:11](#)):

The Obama-Sotomayor story and the *Follow the money!* investigation

What did the President([77§5](#)), his top congressional supporters([78§6](#)), and justices and judges[[196](#)] know[[23b](#)] about his first Supreme Court nominee, Then-Judge, Now-Justice Sotomayor's concealment of assets – suspected by *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, and Politico[[107a](#)]– and consequent tax evasion and money laundering[[ol:5fn10](#)]; and when([75§d](#)) did they know it? (Whether his lie in vouching for her honesty in his interest of passing Obamacare warrants his removal, see [ol:63](#), [70](#); for an estimate of her concealed assets, [[107c](#)].)

The Federal Judiciary-NSA story and the *Follow it wirelessly!* investigation

To what extent do federal judges abuse their vast computer network and expertise –which handle hundreds of millions of case files([Lsch:11¶9b.ii](#))– either alone or with the quid pro quo assistance of the NSA –whose secret requests for secret surveillance orders are rubberstampedol:5fn7 by the federal judges of the secret court established under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA)– to conceal assets –a [crime](#)[[ol:5fn10](#)], unlike surveillance– by electronically transferring them between declared and hidden financial accounts([ol:1](#)), and to protect wrongdoing judges by interfering with the communications –also a [crime](#)([ol:20¶¶11-12](#))– of those complaining against them([ol:19§D](#))?

30. These cases narrow the focus of the media investigation to the judges themselves. The considerable amount of research already conducted and findings made([21§§A-B](#)), and their connection with current scandals, which facilitate further investigation of the circumstances enabling such wrongdoing, will make for a cost-effective investigation. They will lead to the exposure of the schemes of judges' coordinated wrongdoing.

31. Are you interested in finding out how Supreme Court justices engaged in wrongdoing as lower court judges and must continue to engage in it and allow their peers to do wrong so as not to incriminate themselves or them, and how they interfere with your communications with would-be exposers of them or would you rather learn about the case of judicial wrongdoing affecting another veteran in a lower court?

G. Proposal for you to cause veterans to hold judicial wrongdoing presentations

32. If any audience has a right to demand that ours be a 'government of, by, and for the people[[172](#)] according to the rule of their laws'[[ol:5fn6](#)], it is veterans, who fought for it. But a class of wrongdoing judges have turned it

into their government, where they disregard the laws and rule by fiat, so that the people are at their mercy. Veterans have the opportunity to defend once more *We the People* and our republican form of government from the enemy within: Judges Above the Law.

33. Hence, I would like to propose that you reach out to veterans to explain why it is in their interest to hold meetings well advertised to the media where I can make presentations of the evidence of judges' wrongdoing(21§§1-3) and of the two unique national cases(ol:55). Such presentations can make the media realize that it is in their interest to publish the evidence and further(ol:66) investigate those cases.

34. By persuading ever more veteran and non-veteran entities, such as schools of journalism(ol:54;Lsch:23), law(Lsch:1,21), business(104¶¶236-237), and Information Technology(ol:42,60); public interest(86§4) and political(ol:51) organizations; and media outlets(ol:88), to stage those presentations and contribute to producing the proposed documentary(ol:85) on judges' wrongdoing and the two cases, you can become the Builders of a Coalition for Justice(ol:73) that brings about a new *We the People*-government relation: *the People's Sunrise*(ol:29).

I look forward to hearing from you and encourage you and all veterans to share this letter widely.

Because of the probable cause to believe that there is interference with my communications(ol:19§D), I would appreciate your acknowledgment of receipt of this email.

Dare trigger history!(jur:97§§1-2)...and you may enter it.

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
Judicial Discipline Reform
New York City
Dr.Richard.Cordero.Esq@gmail.com

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/14-6-10DrRCordero-GKendall_MFerran_Veterans.pdf >ol:85
www.linkedin.com/pub/dr-richard-cordero-esq/4b/8ba/50/

On Wed, Jun 4, 2014 at 5:45 PM, a Veterans Rights Activist <garv001ok@yahoo.com> wrote:

Mr. Cordero:

I have kept my word and posted the link to your articles for the educational opportunity of the Veterans.

Please find my post between the plus lines.

Gary Kendall

+++++

Regarding Veterans' discovery of corrupt courts that refuse to hear Veterans' lawful complaints, below is a link to articles written by a highly educated man about judicial corruption, discipline, and the need for reform and accountability of the judiciary.

While I cannot repost his articles to these Veterans' forums - he is not a Veteran – there is nothing in the rules about me giving all members the opportunity to use the link and read his articles with an eye toward educating themselves about the judicial problem that is concurrently having negative effect on Veterans' actions in the courts!

Though the author tries hard to make his writing cognitive to most citizens, he does write more at the PHD level and can be hard to understand. I suggest that if having difficulty – as I did – in understanding what he is stating, that you take it in small “bites” at a time and think about it for a while before proceeding further!

Also, that each reader remember that pursuant to the US Constitution, the judiciary SERVES we citizens and IS NOT above the law or our sovereign authority to discipline them for any reason we wish, including the simple “bad behavior” stipulated in the Constitution! Also remember that pursuant to that Constitution we the people have the right to impeach any justice for any reason at all, including that we simply do not like that judge!

In the spirit of educational opportunity for those who are interested, I post that link.

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pd

+++++

US Citizens enjoy freedom of speech and opinion without any fear of retaliation because Veterans served in war with honor to protect that right. Hecklers and naysayers against Veterans are PROOF that Veterans did their jobs well. Thank such people for their proof of the success and honor of your service and tell them that you are overjoyed that they fully enjoy the freedom and rights that you served in war and with honor to protect for them! Thank them for their compliment to you and your service to the nation in which they grow and prosper!

(All herein are personal opinion, I am not a licensed Doctor or Lawyer.)
Gary Kendall: disabled USAF Veteran; Constitutionalist; Veterans'/citizens' rights activist; US recognized, legally declared Sovereign, independent entity.

"For any/all Corporate Agents/informants/investigators et. al. working in collusion, monitoring my mail and any other communications without my permission:"

"I sui juris, domiciled in the republics of Maine, Vermont, Massachusetts, Oregon, Idaho - or any place in which I may be - a National American Sovereign neutral non-combatant by law, declare to all Corporate combatant FEDERAL and/or STATE Agents and/or 3rd parties that I am not a Corporation and/or UNITED STATES/STATE Created Fiction and I am accepting all Oaths and Affirmations declared Under Penalties of Perjury "so help me God" and returning any/all actions from the same being brought/sought against me for want of Geographical Jurisdiction and Venue."

On Tuesday, June 3, 2014 6:05 PM, Richard Cordero
<dr.richard.cordero.esq@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Kendall,

Thank you for your constructive suggestion on how to make my articles reach hundreds of thousands of veterans.

I am not a veteran and have never had any relation with the military.

Hence, in order to achieve effective division of labor, I respectfully ask whether you could take charge of distributing my articles to veterans by appropriate and imaginative means. All the articles are found in the file at http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf, beginning on page 01:55 (open the bookmark 01:1. IV.... on the left pane and scroll down).

The articles are heavily cross-referenced by [blue links](#) that function as hyperlink in that file (but not in the emails). The Subject: line offers a condensed version of the title of the article in the corresponding email. The title in the article itself is much longer because it aims to provide a summary of the whole article.

I trust you understand that I am more effective writing articles and emails to people like you in an effort to create a team(id. >[jur:128§4](#)) to investigate the two unique, national stories and to produce the related documentary; see the email that I sent today to Journalist Janet Phelan, who is promoting her book, *Exile*, and who takes a pragmatic, result-oriented approach to issues, which approach I share and try to foster.

I would like to suggest the distribution of the article below, which describes the two unique, national stories and makes reference to the documentary.

I thank you in advance and look forward to hearing from you.

Dare trigger history!([jur:97§§1-2](#))...and you may enter it!

Sincerely,

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
Judicial Discipline Reform
New York City
Dr.Richard.Cordero.Esq@gmail.com

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf >ol:55, 66, 85

www.linkedin.com/pub/dr-richard-cordero-esq/4b/8ba/50/

Re: Obama-Sotomayor and Federal Judiciary-NSA: two unique national cases whose investigation can expose wrongdoing at the top of government, provoke national outrage, and dominate the coming electoral season

**The President Obama-Justice Sotomayor Case
and
The Federal Judiciary-NSA Case
two unique investigations that can expose wrongdoing
as the institutionalized modus operandi of the Federal Judiciary
in connivance with the President,
provoke national outrage, and
cause the national public to demand that public servants, including judges,
be held accountable to and by their masters:**

We the People

http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf <ol:55

By

Dr. Richard Cordero, Esq.
Ph.D., University of Cambridge, England
M.B.A., University of Michigan Business School
D.E.A., La Sorbonne, Paris
Judicial Discipline Reform
New York City

Dr.Richard.Cordero.Esq@gmail.com
www.linkedin.com/pub/dr-richard-cordero-esq/4b/8ba/50/

1. In the 225 years since the creation of the Federal Judiciary in 1789, the number of federal judges – 2,131 were in office on 30sep11^[13], including the Supreme Court justices– impeached and removed is 8!^[14] Such historic assurance of irremovability in practice has given them the sense that they are unaccountable and can act with impunity. As a result, throughout their lifelong appointment they abuse their power to engage risklessly in individual and coordinated wrongdoing.(jur:2¶¶4-5)

*NOTE: All (parenthetical) and [bracketed] blue text is references to supporting passages and footnotes, respectively, found in the study, Exposing Judges' Unaccountability and Consequent Riskless Wrongdoing: Pioneering the news and publishing field of judicial unaccountability reporting. That study is in the file downloadable through the link http://Judicial-Discipline-Reform.org/OL/DrRCordero-Honest_Jud_Advocates.pdf. In the study and everything else in the file, the blue text represents active cross-referential internal links that facilitate jumping to supporting passages and footnotes to check them. Start at id. >[ol:55](#).

A. Two unique cases and the queries guiding their investigations

2. Federal judges' wrongdoing(jur:5§3) can be exposed by the investigation of two unique cases that will not fail to draw the attention of a national public already so distrustful(ol:11) of government and its top politicians. Their investigation can be guided by these two queries, for which there are numerous leads and a concrete, feasible investigative plan(ol:66):

1. The Obama-Sotomayor case and the *Follow the money!* investigation

What did the President(jur:77§5), Chief Justice Roberts, and other justices and judges^[196] know^[23b] about Justice Sotomayor's concealment of assets(jur:65§1) –suspected by *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, and Politico^[107a]– and consequent tax evasion and when(jur:75§d) did they know it? (For an estimate of J. Sotomayor's concealed assets, see^[107c].)

2. The Federal Judiciary-NSA case and the *Follow it wirelessly!* investigation

To what extent do federal judges abuse their vast computer network and expertise –which handle hundreds of millions of case files(Lsch:11¶9b.ii)– either alone or with the quid pro quo assistance of the NSA –whose requests for secret surveillance orders are rubberstamped^[7] by the federal judges of the secret court established under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA)– to conceal assets –a [crime](#)^[10] under U.S. law, unlike surveillance– by electronically transferring them to secret inland and offshore(ol:1) accounts to launder money of its illegal origin and bring it back as legitimate assets, and to protect wrongdoing judges by interfering with the communications –also a [crime](#)(ol:20¶¶11-12)– of complainants(ol:19§D)?

B. The implications and consequences of the investigations

3. The findings of these investigations can show that justices and judges have failed to “avoid even the appearance of impropriety”^[123a] and give rise to calls for them to be impeached or to resign, as J. Abe Fortas had to on May 14, 1969^[186]. They acted either as principals by doing wrong or as accessories by tolerating(88§§a-d) it. In any event, they were remiss in their individual and collective duty to safeguard judicial integrity; and disregarded in their own personal or judicial ‘wrongdoing family’ interest the key principle of our democracy: Nobody Is Above The Law.

1. Connivance between P.

Obama and J. Sotomayor and dereliction of duty

4. The President too will have to fight off calls for his resignation or impeachment. In addition, he will face the demand of the media and an outraged(83§§2-3) NATIONAL public that he release the secret FBI vetting report on J. Sotomayor, his first nominee to the Supreme Court. It can show whether he was informed also officially by it that she was engaging in the crime^[10] of concealing assets. Whether he learned through the FBI report or the articles in *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, Politico that she was suspected of concealing assets^[107a], he covered it up and lied to the American public by vouching for her honesty. He had a personal interest in doing so: To cater to those petitioning for another woman and the first Latina for the Supreme Court and from whom he expected in exchange support for the passage of Obamacare.

5. Obamacare constitutes the President’s personal interest, his signature piece of legislation, the one that should establish his legacy. To ensure its passage, he nominated to the Supreme Court a dishonest person, Then-Judge Sotomayor, to shape the law of the land for the next 30 or so years during which she can still remain on the bench. But just as felons cannot serve as jurors, a judge who breaks the law shows hypocrisy and contempt for the law and cannot be expected to respect it enough to apply it fairly and impartially. Hence, he nominated her to the detriment of the people and judicial integrity. Such conduct warrants calls for his resignation or impeachment.

6. The issue here is not whether Obamacare should or should not have been adopted. Rather, it is that the President breached the trust of the American people and placed the advancement of his interest ahead of his duty to defend theirs, just as it was J. Sotomayor's duty to uphold the law by abiding by it. Nor is it partisan politics that determines whether the people can exercise their right, as the source of all political power, to demand that its public servants behave with integrity.

2. Public outrage at the Federal Judiciary's abuse of IT resources

7. The Federal Judiciary-NSA case can provoke a scandal more outrageous to more people than the scandal arisen through Edward Snowden's revelations of NSA's abuse of secret surveillance requests rubberstamped by the federal judges of the secret FISA court. The scandal would be deeper and more extensive because the judges of the Federal Judiciary, a national jurisdiction, wield power that affects not only the right of privacy, as the NSA scandal mainly does, but also every other right as well as the property, liberty, and life of everybody in this country. The scandal would only be aggravated if it were exposed that the President has covered for wrongdoing judges to avoid their retaliation¹⁷, i.e., their holding Obamacare and other actions of his unconstitutional, thus compounding the wrongdoing and allowing them to harm the people with impunity.

C. Plan of action to expose wrongdoing judges and lead to judicial reform

8. A plan of action(ol:66) can show how to proceed from exposing the unaccountability and riskless wrongdoing of the judges of the Federal Judiciary, the model for its state counterparts; to curbing them through legislated reform(158§§6-8) that mandates non-discretionary, specific method & result changes. The plan will subsequently find application to state judges and their judiciaries.

1. Presentations of the evidence and formation of an investigative team

9. The plan begins with presentations(Lsch:9) of the available evidence(jur:21§§A-B) of judges' unaccountability and consequent riskless wrongdoing. They will afford the opportunity to form out of the audiences a team of professionals(128§4) to investigate it further. So, the effort will be made to hold them at law, journalism, business, and IT schools as guest speaker events of classes, student societies, or the schools(Lsch:1). Holding them at student job fairs will offer in addition the presence of recruiters and even top officers of companies that can have an interest in joining the investigation. Likewise, they can be held at partisan conferences and meetings(ol:75). Winning the mid-term elections will give many politicians and their supporters a partisan interest in launching the investigation. Here applies the aphorism: "The enemy of my enemy is my friend".

2. Watergate-like generalized media investigation with national reach

10. The presentations and investigative team findings will outrage ever more people and can set off a Watergate-like(jur:4¶¶10-14) generalized media search for J. Sotomayor's concealed assets(100§§3-4); and for a Judiciary-NSA link or an NSA-like abuse of IT resources(ol:19§D
...