

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Mediation Office

Phone (415) 355-7900 Fax (415) 355-8566

<http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/mediation>

MEDIATION QUESTIONNAIRE

The purpose of this questionnaire is to help the court's mediators provide the best possible mediation service in this case; it serves no other function. Responses to this questionnaire are **not** confidential. Appellants/Petitioners must electronically file this document within 7 days of the docketing of the case. 9th Cir. R. 3-4 and 15-2. Appellees/Respondents may file the questionnaire, but are not required to do so.

9th Circuit Case Number(s):	13-16359		
District Court/Agency Case Number(s):	12-cv-02997		
District Court/Agency Location:	Eastern District of CA		
Case Name:	Grinois et al	v.	Electoral College et al
If District Court, docket entry number(s) of order(s) appealed from:	Order to dismiss, order to deny default by Barack Obama		
Name of party/parties submitting this form:	Appellants		

Please briefly describe the dispute that gave rise to this lawsuit.

Plaintiffs/Appellants filed the complaint at hand declaratory and injunctive relief seeking to stay confirmation of Barack Obama's election due to fraud committed by Obama, as documents provided show Obama using a stolen CT Social Security number, which failed -verify and fabricated IDs and claimed his natural born status and legitimacy for the U.S. Presidency based on stolen and fabricated IDs.

While defendants in other cases stated that the Secretary of State and the Federal court have the jurisdiction to rule on eligibility of the Presidential Candidate, in this case they claimed the opposite and simply treated Barack Obama above the law and outside the law and precedents.

U.S. Attorneys claimed that they represent the US Congress and Electoral college, while members of the U.S. Congress and electoral college stated that the U.S. Attorneys never provided them with any documents or

standings.

Briefly describe the result below and the main issues on appeal.

1. Judge England ruled that the secretary of state and the court do not have jurisdiction to ascertain eligibility of the Candidate for President. this decision represented bias and abuse of Judicial discretion as only 2 months earlier Eastern District of CA ruled that the Sec of State and the court have jurisdiction to rule on eligibility and the court ruled in favor of the Secretary of State who threw of the ballot a candidate for President Peta Lindsey. Similarly, in previous years other candidates for President were found not to be eligible and were thrown of the ballot and the courts approved those actions.

2. Judge England abused his Judicial discretion and showed bias in refusing to strike the pleadings by the US Attorneys after it became known that the US Attorneys defrauded the court and did not notify their alleged clients, members of the US Congress and Electoral College about the case and the motions

(Please continue to next page)

Describe any proceedings remaining below or any related proceedings in other tribunals.

Provide any other thoughts you would like to bring to the attention of the mediator.

The plaintiffs-appellants submit to the mediator that it is time to end the judicial tyranny and manipulation of the Constitution and the rule of law that we have seen in the past 5 years of the Obama regime, when judges were coming up with any and all bogus excuses to keep Obama above the law, allow this coup d'etat to go on and allow Obama to usurp the U.S. Presidency based on fraud and use of fabricated IDs and a stolen Social Security number. This Nation became a de facto occupied territory with no real Constitutional rights and liberties for its' citizens due to the usurpation of the U.S. Presidency and refusal by judges to apply the law to Obama.

Any party may provide additional information *in confidence* directly to the Circuit Mediation Office at ca09_mediation@ca9.uscourts.gov. Please provide the case name and Ninth Circuit case number in your message. Additional information might include interest in including this case in the mediation program, the case's settlement history, issues beyond the litigation that the parties might address in a settlement context, or future events that might affect the parties' willingness or ability to mediate the case.

CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL

I certify that:

- ☒ a current service list with telephone and fax numbers and email addresses is attached (see 9th Circuit Rule 3-2).
- ☒ I understand that failure to provide the Court with a completed form and service list may result in sanctions, including dismissal of the appeal.

Signature

("s/" plus attorney name may be used in lieu of a manual signature on electronically-filed documents.)

Counsel for

Note: Use of the Appellate ECF system is mandatory for all attorneys filing in this Court, unless they are granted an exemption from using the system. **File this document electronically** in Appellate ECF by choosing Forms/Notices/Disclosure > File a Mediation Questionnaire.