

**THE REPOSITORY™**

**ARCHIVE INDEX SYSTEMS, INC.**

IMAGING TECHNOLOGIES TO EXPAND MAN'S KNOWLEDGE

P.O. Box 40135

**BELLEVUE, WASHINGTON 98015**

(425) 643.1131; FAX (240) 384-7297

For response to this letter: [diehold@comcast.net](mailto:diehold@comcast.net)

**WEB PAGES**

[www.archiveindex.com](http://www.archiveindex.com)

[www.wholesalecheckscanners.com](http://www.wholesalecheckscanners.com)

**RESELLERS OF**  
PRODUCTION DOCUMENT SCANNERS  
WIDE-FORMAT SCANNERS  
CHECK SCANNERS  
WEB-BASED DOCUMENT IMAGING SOFTWARE  
SCANNING SOFTWARE

June 24, 2011

## **Affidavit**

I, Douglas B. Vogt, am over 18 years old, do not suffer from any mental impairment, have personal knowledge in the following and attest under penalty of perjury that I have knowledge and expertise in documents, imaging, scanners and document imaging programs. Based on my knowledge and expertise the following is true and correct.

The reason I have issued this affidavit and notified the FBI and other government officials is because I am compelled to because of Federal Statute Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 1 Section 4: "Misprision of felony; Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both." Since I am the one who positively identified Obama's Certificate of Live Birth, presented to the American public on April 27, 2011, as a forgery it was my obligation to report it.

### **My Credentials**

I have a unique background that enables me to analyze this document in a competent, detailed, and extensive manner. I owned a typesetting company (Nova Typesetting) for eleven years, and thus have extensive knowledge and experience in type and form design. I have owned Archive Index Systems since 1993, a company that sells a wide selection of document scanners worldwide, and which also developed and sold document imaging software (TheRepository). Additionally, I have an extensive knowledge of how scanners function and their capabilities. I have also sold other document imaging programs, such as Laser Fiche, Liberty and Alchemy. I have sold and installed document imaging systems in city and county governments, and thus have extensive knowledge of municipal and county document imaging programs and procedures, including the design and implementation of such programs. Additionally, I have a good working knowledge of Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator. These factors will be crucial in understanding what has occurred with Obama's Certificate of Live Birth.

### **What I Discovered about Obama's Certificate of Live Birth and why it is a Forgery.**

What the Obama administration released is a PDF image that they are trying to pass off as a Certificate Live Birth Long Form printed on green security paper by the County Health Department in Hawaii. The form is a created forgery for the following reasons.

Figure 1. Tiff image of the Obama's Certificate of Live Birth dated August 8, 1961, presented on TV 4/27/2011.

Figure 2. Another Person's microfilmed Certificate of Live Birth dated August 11, 1961.

**1. Curved and non-curved type.** The image we are looking at was scanned in grayscale and some part in binary which cannot be on the same image. The reason I know this is because of the shadowing along the gutter (left-hand side) is produced by scanning in grayscale. It also means that the county employee, who did the original scanning of all the forms, did not take the individual pages out of the post binders. The result is that all the pages in that book display a parallax distorted image of the lines and type. They curve and drop down to the left. If you look at line 2 (see Figure 3) on the form that says *Sex* you will notice the letters drop down one pixel but the typed word *Male* does not. Also notice the line just below *Male* drops down 3 pixels.




Figure 3. Line 2 of the form. Baseline differences.

The second incident of this parallax problem is seen in line 6c *Name of Hospital or Institution* (see Figure 4). The word *Name* drops down 2 pixels, but the typed hospital name, *Kapiolani*, does not drop down at all, and again the line just below drops down 2 pixels, but not the name *Kapiolani*.




Figure 4. Line 6c at 500%. The typewriter name of the hospital does not drop down 2 pixels.

The conclusion you must come to is that the typed in form was superimposed over an existing original Certificate of Live Birth form. In fact, since I found some of the form headings scanned in as binary and grayscale, the form itself is a composite but the person who created it did not flatten the image of the blank form and save it as one file before they started placing the typewriter text on the composite form. The individual(s) who perpetrated this forgery could not evidently find a blank form in the clerks imaging database, so they were forced to clean up existing forms and overlay the typewriter type we see here. The forger was also looking for certificates with the correct stamped dates and that is why I think they used more than one original form. At first I wondered why the forger didn't just typeset the entire form from scratch and overlay the type and not have to worry about the parallax problem. Then I remembered that in the early 1960s there was no phototypesetting and this form was set in hot metal from a linotype machine. The type design I think is Times Roman but they could never replicate the exact design. They were stuck having to use existing forms that were scanned in using binary and grayscale.

**2. There is a white haloing around all the type on the form.** Figure 5 is an example of this. This effect should not appear on a scanned grayscale image. Figure 6 is a grayscale image scanned in at 240 dpi. You will notice that there is no haloing effect around the type and also the security pattern is seen through the type. Figure 7 is a color image where you can clearly see the security green color through the type and no haloing. Figure 8 shows a Black and White (binary) image of the same type. The important thing to remember is that you cannot have grayscale and binary on the same scan unless the image is a composite. That means that different components of the whole image are made up of smaller parts. Figure 9 is an enlarged version of Figure 6 showing what grayscale letters should look like compared to binary.




Figure 5. Obama's form




Figure 6. Grayscale.




Figure 7. Color image.

URN, NO RECEIPT NECESSAR

SECURITY PACII  
Ventura & Sepulver  
15165 Ventura Bou  
Sherman Oaks, Ca




Figure 8. Binary image.

Figure 9. An enlarged version of Figure 6 showing grayscale type.

The next question would be: What would have caused the haloring effect? We know that all the original Certificates of Live Birth (COLB) were microfilmed because we can see the Nordyke Certificate (see Figure 2) was microfilmed. Then some time after 2004 the paper original copies, in post binder books, were scanned using a commercial document scanner with a flatbed, scanned as grayscale images. The forger was working with two types of images. He/she may have used images printed from the microfilmed copy and then scanned the printout in grayscale. At that point the forger would have to invert the image so as to have a white background, black type. Figure 10 is an example of an inverted image of Figure 2. The result would be like Figure 9 but a whiter background. The image I am working with in Figure 10 is only 94 DPI but the forger was working with much higher resolution ( $\geq 240$  dpi). At that point the forger converted the grayscale to a binary image and placed it onto the background form image. The problem was that there were still image values for the pixels around the placed type so when he/she placed the type image over the background and instructed the program to bring the type “forward” it blanked out the background image, hence the haloring effect around the type.

Figure 10, Inverted image of Figure 2.

**3. The Obama Certificate is loaded with both binary and grayscale letters** which is just another smoking gun that this form is a forgery. It appears the lines and some of the boxes were scanned using grayscale, but only some of the form headings were grayscale and sometimes it is only some letters. Figure 11 and Figure 4 give one example. You will notice that the *H* and, *al*, in Hospital, *I* in Institution, *If* and again the *h* and *l* in hospital were grayscale images, but the rest of the line is binary. The typewriter line below was scanned in as a binary image. I can also tell you for certainty

that the form type was scanned in at a lower resolution ( $\leq 200$  dpi). This is because of the size of the pixels on the letters were such that the openings on the *a* and *s* on the first line are not visible and filled in. This may also further indicate that forger took some of the type images from the microfilmed copies.



Figure 11. showing a mixture of grayscale and binary type on the same line.

Another example is found in form box 1a, his name *BARACK* for some reason the “R” is a grayscale image and the rest is binary (see Figure 13). That means the “R” was originally on the form and the rest was not until it was added.

The question again is: Why did the forger leave some grayscale type images on the form and not just erase the whole form? The answer is that he/she needed the grayscale images to re-establish the baseline of the type for the superimposed binary type. This also told me that the forger was an experienced graphic artist.



Figure 13. Another example of grayscale and binary on the same line.



Figure 14. The last “1” is grayscale, but the rest are binary.

Another example is the Certificate number itself (see Figure 14). The last “1” on the form is a grayscale image but the rest of the numbers are not. It also has a different baseline. This is just another example of a cut and paste job. It also means we do not know what the real Certificate number is if there even is one. There are other form boxes that display the same feature, boxes: 5b, 7e, 11, 13, 16, 18a.

**4. The Sequential Number is a fraud.** I would like you to refer back to Figures 1 and 2. You will notice that Barack Obama was supposed to have been born on Friday at 7:24 p.m. August 4, 1961 and the local registrar supposedly accepted it on Tuesday August 8, 1961 and hand stamped the Certificate number “61 10641.” Then notice that the other Certificate of Susan E. Nordyke was born on Saturday at 2:12 p.m. August 5, 1961 and another registrar date stamped it on August 11, but her Certificate number is “61 10637.” Susan Nordyke was a twin and her sister’s Certificate number is 61 10638. Keep in mind there would be only one Bates stamp machine in the office so the numbers would all be unique. There cannot be any duplicates so every Certificate has a unique serial number.

Obama's Certificate would have most likely been mailed on the following Monday, the 7<sup>th</sup> and received by the Clerk Tuesday the 8<sup>th</sup>. Susan Nordyke and her sister's Certificates looks like they were mailed sometime earlier that week and not accepted until the 11<sup>th</sup> but Susan has a Certificate four numbers less than Obama's. It is impossible to have Obama's Certificate number to be four numbers higher than a Certificate that came in three days later.

As stated in #3 the last "1" on the form is a grayscale image but the rest of the numbers are not (see Figure 14). You will also notice that the baseline of the last "1" is straight and level but the rest of the numbers are slanted. This is again irrefutable proof that the Certificate number is a composite of two numbers and hence a forgery. This forgery comes under a separate offense and carries with it 5-years in prison [see Appendix D: Title 19, Ch.47, Sec, 1028(d)(1)].

The facts I have shown you in #3 and 4 tell me several things about how this forgery was assembled. 1. Some person(s) in the Health Department, who had access to the document imaging program, searched the database for someone close to the actual birth date of Obama and found someone near the 4<sup>th</sup> of August, if in fact he was born on the 4<sup>th</sup> and we should not assume that at all. Obama may have chosen the 4<sup>th</sup> of August because they had a baby who died close to his date of birth. The clerk may have cross referenced the death database to find someone who had died and had a birth date close to Obama's. It has been reported that an infant girl named Virginia Sunahara was born on August 4, 1961 at Wahiawa Hospital in Wahiawa, Oahu, HI who died on August 5, 1961 at the Kapiolani Women and Children's Medical Center, due to complications. This happens to coincide with the date of birth and birthplace of the Nordyke twins. We could make two assumptions here. 1. Wahiawa Hospital customarily would have completed the COLB form and mailed it to the County Health Department; and 2. Kapiolani Medical Center would have filled out the death certificate. The other less likely scenario could be that her medical records were transferred to Kapiolani Hospital and they would produce the birth certificate and later the death certificate which was later included in the group of birth certificates that contained the Nordyke twins.

The Federal Government wanted the States to cross reference the birth and death databases so the database would have that information. The date stamps have two different colors and sizes (see #5 below) which indicates that both dates came from different Certificates. We can conclude from this that more than one person was involved in the Hawaii Department of Health in assembling the different components that were used: 1. Someone to conduct the database searches to find the right Certificates to create the fraudulent Certificate of Live Birth; and 2. Someone who signed or stamped the fraudulent certificate. I believe that after all the components were assembled they were then given to a graphic artist to actually assemble the whole thing and create the finished forgery. That graphic artist could be located anywhere. In short this was a multi-state conspiracy to defraud the United States.

**5. Two different colors in Form box 20, 22 and 17a Date Accepted by Reg. General.** What is very revealing about this box and date entry is there are two different colors on both lines where there should be no color at all. Both lines were scanned using binary mode, but I see two different colors (see Figure 15). What I think this shows us is that the person who put this fraud together was looking for a form that had the right date namely "August 8 19 1." As you can see the only things that are printed in dark green (R=71, G=92, B=73) are "Date A" and "AUG -8 6." The rest of the type is in black. This tells me that the forger was working in color mode and what they copied from had a color value for some reason unless they put a color value on it.



Figure 15. Two different colors, dark green and black.

The same thing is found in form box 20 “Date Accepted by Local Reg.” Figure 16 again shows that the date has two different colors. The “AUG -8 196” is in dark green (R=87, G=111, B=87) and the “1” is in black. Yet again another irrefutable proof this form is a forgery. Form box 17a displays the same two color image in the word “None”. The “Non” is in dark green.



Figure 16. Another example of two colors on the same line.

**6. The official seal is not part of the Certificate of Live Birth and they used the wrong size impression of a seal.** The Hawaiian law (Section 11-1-2 Seal of the Department of Health) states:

- a) The official seal of the department of health shall be circular in shape, **two and one-fourth inches in diameter**. At the curve on the top portion there shall be the words “DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH” and at the curve on the bottom portion there shall be the words “STATE OF HAWAII.” At the curve on each side portion shall be a star. In the center of the seal shall be the Caduceus, a winged rod entwined with two serpents, which has long been recognized as a universal symbol of medicine. The Caduceus shall be encircled by an indentation, which shall separate it from the words “DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH” and “STATE OF HAWAII .”




Figure 17, Seal on Obamas Short Form




Figure 18: Nordyke seal from 1966




Figure 19: Obama’s COLB long form Apr.2011

(Figure 19 is courtesy of Kevin Powell; <http://www.pixelpatriot.blogspot.com>)

The first Certification of Live Birth Obama the candidate produced in June of 2008 was the “Short Form” of the COLB. It had the Department of Health’s seal embossed on it (see Figure 17) appearing on it about 1.8” from the bottom of the 11 inch paper. That told me the Health Department is using an electric embosser, which applies ample pressure to leave a clear visible embossment. Hand seal embossers have only 7/8” or less from the edge of the paper for a 1 3/4” seal. The Health Department seal does not appear obvious on the Obama COLB. A good embossment will distort the type and lines on a form and is clearly visible (see Figure 21). Even on the Nordyke Certificate (see Figure 18) in spite of it being an inverted image from a microfilmed image, it is clearly seen. Figure

19 shows Obama’s seal on the COLB presented on April 27, 2011, and is visible only because a color filter was used to see it, otherwise it completely disappears in the design of the security paper (see Figure 20).




Figure 20: Seal on Obama’s COLB From April 27, 2011.

Year	Mo.	Day	Hour
	15	1962	3:51 A.M.
6b. Island			
Oahu			
Place of Birth inside City or Town Limits? no, give judicial district			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
7c. County and State or Foreign Country			
Honolulu, Hawaii			
Residence Inside City or Town Limits? no, give judicial district			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
7g. Is Residence on a Farm or Plantation?			
Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
9. Race of Father			
Caucasian			
12b. Kind of Business or Industry			

Figure 21: Hand stamped seal from an original COLB from 1962.

The official seal on the Obama COLB is a second or even third generation image from another form. The seal embossing did not distort the lines or type on the form and it most likely was never part of his Certificate. We cannot make out any of the type on the seal as well as the two stars and the Caduceus. The distorted background image of a seal can be created using Photoshop or Illustrator by applying it as a watermark. Yet another indication this Certificate of Live Birth is an obvious forgery.

If that wasn’t enough I then investigated the size of the latent seal image on the Obama certificate and found it to measure only about 1 3/4” in diameter. The procedure I used to discover the seal measurements were as follows: With the Savannah Guthrie photo (see Figure A) of the document I was able to see the left and right hand sides of the document and knew that was 8 1/2” wide. I was then able to determine the scale of all the components on the form. I then measured the line length on the second line from the bottom. Box 20, 21 and 22 rest on. That length is 6.396” long, measured from the first bold vertical line on the left side of the form to the end of the line (see Figure 22). I then adjusted all the images I had for Certificates including non-Obama Certificates, which I had. What I found was that the alleged embossed seal on the Obama COLB were all 1 3/4” in diameter and that is not the legal seal as described by Hawaii state law, which should be 2 1/4”. The administration has the wrong size seal on their certificate and that seal was supposed to be a first generation full size imprint.

hour stated above.	<i>David A. Similan</i>	Midwife <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0-0-61
20. Date Accepted by Local Reg. AUG - 8 1961	21. Signature of Local Registrar <i>U. Lee</i>	22. Date Accepted by Reg. General AUG - 8 1961
23. Evidence for Delayed Filing or Alteration		

Figure 22. The COLB Obama presented on 4/27/11 from the PDF file on the White House web site. The seal measured only 1-7/8” in diameter.

I then examined Certificates of other individuals that I found on the internet. Figure 23 is of a Certification short form of Patricia Decosta dated 2002 and it is also 1 3/4”. As stated in the Hawaii state code, it must be 2.25” in diameter. The current Department of Health seal is not the same one they had in the 1960s. That one was 2 1/4” in diameter (see Figure 18) but the current seal is not legal—it’s the wrong size and the type is not legible! You cannot make out any of the words, the stars or the Caduceus. I will be notifying the Department of Health of their gross error in the hope



they will fit it with a new legal seal. Some time after 1966 the original legal seal was “lost” or stolen because they do not wear out. Some bureaucrat ordered a replacement and was either ignorant of the law or too stupid to ask if there was a specific requirement for the seal. What mystifies me is that the Director of the Department of Health and the registrars did not spot the error and fix it. My conclusion is they just didn’t care about the law.




Figure 23. The Certification of Patricia Decosta dated 2002.

**7. The hand stamped certification from the current registrar is a forged stamped notice.**

The Department of Health has the right to produce a legal copy of the original Certificate of Live Birth for people who have the appropriate right to receive one. The Clerk in the office would search the document imaging database and retrieve the correct Certificate. The Clerk would then print out the tiff image on the green security paper. They would then take a rubber stamp that states the following: I CERTIFY THIS IS A TRUE COPY OR ABSTRACT OF THE RECORD ON FILE IN THE HAWAII STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. Then below this notice would be the likeness of the State Registrar’s signature, in this case it was Alvin T. Onaka, Ph.D. Then the clerk would stamp the date to the left of the certification. See Figure 24 for a Certificate done one month before the Obama’s April 25, 2011 Certificate. Please note that since it is a hand stamp the certificate stamp is skewed up on the right side.




Figure 24. Registrar stamp on a persons Certificate done March 2011.

Now let us look at Obama’s Certificate (see Figure 25) supposedly done on Aril 25, 2011. Notice the registrar’s rubber stamp has an error on the word “the” which reads “TXE,” but this error does not show up on the same rubber stamp used one month before. In Figure 26 you will see an enlargement of the word. You will notice that the “X” had been created by the graphic artist by filling in pixels so it appears like an “X” but it really is not. Also notice that the whole stamp is too straight on the form. The red lines drawn under two of the lines of type are aligned with the pixels. The stamp rises only two pixels over 3”. My conclusion is that the whole stamp was placed there by the graphic artist to look as straight as possible. The only problem is that no hand stamped notice like this would be placed that perfect on the page.




Figure 25. Obama's Registrar stamp with the errors on it,



Figure 26. Enlargement of the "TXE."

Other investigators have mentioned what looks like an italic "E" under the capital "A" in Alvin. In Figure 24 the same artifact does not appear. This artifact also does not show up on the Savannah Guthrie photo but does appear on all the other copies and PDFs the White House displayed. We have to assume either the artifact was already on the security image the forger used, and forgot to erase it, or it was placed there deliberately for some reason that we don't know yet.

**8. Forged signatures of the Mother and Registrar.** Forgery of a signature occurs in three ways. The old methods were someone would practice signing another's signature until they got good at it. Another was to simply trace the signature from a previously known signature. The new way is to find a signature and scan it into a computer. Then place that signature, or parts of a signature, onto the desired form or check. The signature of the mother, *Ann Dunham Obama* in Box 18a is made up of two images. The "Ann D" is in grayscale and the rest of her name is a binary image. The signature of the registrar *U"K"L Lee* is also made up of the same image types. The "K" really looks like "IL" and the *I* is a binary image and the rest of his name is grayscale. That means the *I* was added in another layer. Both errors can be seen included in Figure 27. Irrefutable proof the Obama Certificate is a forgery.

**9. Multiple layers in the PDF file from the White House.** I am not the first one to find this fact and they deserve the credit for discovering it. What they discovered is that when you open up the PDF file in Adobe Illustrator and you turn on layers, you see a long list of nine different layers that correspond to different sections of the form, including the signatures on the form. Figure 27 shows the layer that contains most of the typewriter and form text.

I discovered using just my Adobe Acrobat 8 Standard that I could also see the different components disappear when I enlarged the image to just 400% and used the "hand" tool to quickly move around the image. When I moved the image fast, the various type components would disappear from the form but the lines stayed just as I had concluded.

I also opened up the White House PDF file in WordPad so I could see the codes and headers in the file. There I discovered the evidence for the nine layers embedded in the code (see below). The big surprise I discovered was that the file was finished or created on April 27, 2011 at 12:09 pm and the copy I had downloaded from the White House web site was modified on April 28, 2011 at 9:58 am, the day after the news conference. The whole White House story that the President had his Seattle-based lawyer fly to Hawaii and pick up two signed and stamped paper Certificates of Live Birth and fly directly to Washington DC, is obviously not the document the public has been shown. In other words the whole story may not true. I checked the cost for UPS to ship the documents next day and delivery by 8:30 am Tuesday and it was only \$84.00. I checked the cost for a lawyer to fly last minute from Seattle to Hawaii then to Washington DC it is thousands of dollars. Their story is just not believable.

The PDF file indicates the PDF "CreatorTool" was *Preview* which is an Apple product that is just like Adobe's Acrobat Standard, which is a viewer and print driver. It is not a photo and image design program. It is just the program that created the PDF file (as a print driver). The Preview program can

also read twenty-six different image and document types, that includes Adobe Illustrator and Photoshop.

Defenders of the Administration’s argument that the layers were created by an OCR program (Optical Character Recognition) are also ridiculously wrong because the PDF file is not a searchable PDF therefore no OCR process was performed and additionally no text object was found within the PDF file I examined.

```
2 0 obj
<</Subtype/XML/Length 3759/Type/Metadata>>stream
<xap:CreateDate>2011-04-27T12:09:24Z</xap:CreateDate>
<xap:CreatorTool>Preview</xap:CreatorTool>
<xap:ModifyDate>2011-04-28T09:58:24-07:00</xap:ModifyDate>
<xap:MetadataDate>2011-04-28T09:58:24-07:00</xap:MetadataDate>
```

The following are the header codes for the 9 layers embedded throughout the file.

```
13 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 299366/Filter/DCTDecode/BitsPerComponent 8/ColorSpace 9 0 R/Width 1652/Height 1276/Type/XObject>>stream
14 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 67980/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 1454/Height 1819/Type/XObject>>stream
15 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 5510/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 199/Height 778/Type/XObject>>stream
16 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 480/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 42/Height 274/Type/XObject>>stream
17 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 633/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 123/Height 228/Type/XObject>>stream
18 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 436/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 47/Height 216/Type/XObject>>stream
19 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 173/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 34/Height 70/Type/XObject>>stream
20 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 671/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 243/Height 217/Type/XObject>>stream
21 0 obj
<</Subtype/Image/Length 344/Filter/FlateDecode/ImageMask true/BitsPerComponent 1/Width 132/Height 142/Type/XObject>>stream
```

The discovery of nine layers in the PDF image didn’t matter for my analysis 1 through 7 because I was able to export the image as a TIFF (18.35 MB) out of that PDF using my Adobe Standard software. So I was working from a flattened image and was able to find all that I did, in other words the layers were irrelevant to me but was just further proof that the Obama’s Certificate of Live Birth is a forgery.

STATE OF HAWAII      CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH      DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
 FILE NUMBER 151      61 1061

1. Child's First Name (Type or print)      1b. Middle Name      1c. Last Name  
 BA ACK      HUSSEIN      OBAMA, II

2. Sex      3. This Birth      4. If Twin or Triplet, Was Child Born      5a. Birth      Month      Day      Year      5b. Hour  
 Male      Single  Twin  Triplet  1st  2nd  3rd  Date      August      4      1961      7:24 P.

Place of Birth: City, Town or Rural Location      6b. Island  
 Honolulu      Oahu

7. Usual Residence of Mother: City, Town or Rural Location      7b. Island      7c. County and State or Foreign Country  
 Honolulu      Oahu      Honolulu, Hawaii

7d. Street Address      7e. Is Residence Inside City or Town Limits?      7f. Is Residence on a Farm or Plantation?  
 6085 Kalaniana'ole Highway      Yes  No       Yes  No

8. Full Name of Father      9. Race of Father  
 BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA      African

10. Age of Father      11. Birthplace (land, sea or foreign country)      12a. Usual Occupation      12b. Kind of Business or Industry  
 25 Kenya, East Africa      Student      University

13. Full Maiden Name of Mother      14. Race of Mother  
 TANLEY ANN DUNHAM      Caucasian

15. Age of Mother      16. Birthplace (land, sea or foreign country)      17a. Type of Occupation Outside Home During Pregnancy      17b. Date Last Worked  
 18 Wichita Kansas      8

I certify that the above stated information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.      18a. Signature of Parent or Other Informant      18b. Date of Signature  
 Signature of Parent or Other Informant      8-7-61

I hereby certify that this child was born alive on the date and hour stated above.      19a. Signature of Registrar      19b. Date of Signature  
 Signature of Registrar      8-8-61

20. Date Accepted by Local Reg.      21. Signature of Local Registrar      22. Date Accepted by Reg. General  
 1      Signature of Local Registrar      19 1

23. Evidence for Delayed Filing or Alteration

Figure 27: The layer that contains most of the forms text and typewriter text.

I have received other White House PDF files from Graphic artists around the country. As a result I received a PDF Certificate that was put up on the White House web site no more than 10 minutes after it was uploaded. That PDF showed nine layers, no OCR (see Figure 28), Image file created at 7:50 am on the 27<sup>th</sup> (see Figure 29) and finally the PDF file created using *Preview* (the print driver) and modified on 4/27/11 at 12:09 p.m., which is similar to my file. My conclusion is that this shows the individuals in the White House were “fixing” or changing this forgery as late as 7:50 a.m., an hour before the pre-news conference.




Figure 28. Shows 9 layers and no OCR.




Figure 29. Created 7:50 AM




Figure 30. PDF modified 4/27/11 @ 12:09 am.

**A Rebuttal to the Discovery of the Multi Layers Found in the PDF File.**

To begin with the White House PDF was not a searchable PDF therefore no OCR process was performed on the image and therefore the following rebuttal and defense of Obama’s COLB is irrelevant and a very poor attempt at defending this blatant forgery.

The only rebuttal to the nine layers discovered in the PDF file released by the White House was a statement from Canadian graphic artists from Quebec by the name of Jean-Claude Tremblay on April 29. It was reported by Fox News and on their web site at:

<http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2011/04/29/expert-says-obamas-birth-certificate-legit/>.

He tries to excuse the multi-layers as merely an artifact of an OCR (Optical Character Recognition) engine and then saved as a PDF. There are three major reasons he is wrong and I know from his statement he knows nothing about OCR engines and how they work and their file structure. First the White House PDF file is not a searchable PDF and no text object could be found in the PDF file. Second, the Obama PDF certificate was supposed to have come directly from the Health Departments office. As stated before, the records they have would have absolutely no reason to be OCRed and if they were asked to give the customer a PDF image it would be from their existing TIFF image stored in their document imaging program on the server. The program would have done no OCR processing at that time.

The third reason is the lack of OCR files in the PDF file. My qualifications on OCR programs are considerable. Our own document imaging program, TheRepository, has an OCR option from Expervision that is called TypeReader. We integrated TypeReader into our program but to do this we had to sign a non-disclosure statement with them and then we got their Took Kit and API. When an OCR program saves a file as a searchable PDF, the file contains three main files within it. The first file is an image file, usually a compressed Group4 TIFF. The second file is an ASCII text file and the last file is a matrix file that contains the X and Y coordinates of all the words in the document. The starting point for the image file and the matrix file is usually the upper left hand corner of the image measured in pixels. The text file and matrix files would never be seen as separate layers and there are certainly not nine layers. The three files would be in a PDF "wrapper" and that is all. All OCR programs work on the same principle.

**Conclusion**


The Certificate of Live Birth Obama presented on television on Aril 27, 2011 is a forgery.

In witness whereof he has hereto set his hand and seal.

Name of Notary: HONG LI

Title: CSM

I, HONG LI, a Notary Public of King County and the State of Washington aforesaid, hereby certify that Douglas B. Vogt personally known to me to be the affiant in the foregoing affidavit, personally appeared before me this day and having been by me duly sworn deposes and say that the facts set forth in the above affidavit are true **NOT** correct.



Witness my hand and official seal this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2011.

[Signature]  
Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 02 / 11 / 20 12

[Signature]  
Douglas B. Vogt