

DR. ORLY TAITZ, ESQ
29839 SANTA MARGARITA, STE 100
RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA, CA 92688
PH. 49-683-5411—FAX 949-766-7603
ORLY.TAITZ@GMAIL.COM

06.26.2012

Attention

Jo Bonner-Chairman House of Representatives Ethics Committee

Washington Office
2236 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
Phone | (202)-225-4931
Fax | (202) 225-0562

**OPEN COMPLAINT: GROSS VIOLATION OF ETHICS BY
CONGRESSWOMAN NANCY PELOSI**

FRAUD ON THE COURT

ELECTIONS FRAUD

AIDING AND ABETTING USE OF FORGED DOCUMENTS

SIGNING OF A FABRICATED/ALTERED DOCUMENT

TREASON.

Dear Congressman Jo Bonner,

My name is Orly Taitz and I am an attorney leading several legal challenges to Barack Hussein Obama.

In one of such challenges an evidentiary hearing was scheduled for June 12, 2012 before Honorable Judge S.K. Reid in Marion County Superior court in Indianapolis, Indiana. A number of individuals were subpoenaed to appear and testify and produce documents, among them Congresswoman Pelosi, (Hereinafter "Pelosi").

Pelosi was subpoenaed as a former chairwoman for 2008 Democratic Party nominating convention and required to produce nominations of the candidate, which she signed, where she submitted different certifications of a candidate to the state of Hawaii and 49 other states

When Pelosi received the subpoena, she defrauded the court and Honorable Judge Reid by writing that the subpoena was for her as a Congresswoman and not the chair of the 2008 convention and she defrauded the court by claiming that she did not have any documents. (see attached the subpoena for Nancy Pelosi to appear in Taitz et al v Elections Commission 49DI41203MI012046 Marion County Superior Court and response from Pelosi by her counsel Kerry W. Kircher, Chief Counsel House of Representatives)

Please find following documents and affidavits attesting to the fact that Barack Hussein Obama is indeed using forged identification papers.

1. Affidavit of Sheriff Joseph Arpaio of Maricopa county, AZ, attesting to the fact that Obama is using a forged birth certificate, forged Selective Service certificate and a forged Social Security number.
2. Affidavit of Tim Adams, assistant registrar in HI, attesting to the fact that there is no birth certificate for Obama in any hospital
3. Affidavit of expert Felicito Papa attesting to the fact that Obama is using CT SSN 042-68-4425 in his tax returns.
4. Affidavit of Linda Jordan attesting to the fact that the Social security number assigned to Obama does not pass e-verify

5. SSNVS (Social Security verification Systems) printout showing that the Social Security number used by Obama 042-68-4425 was never assigned to Obama
6. Affidavit of scanning machines expert, Doug Vogt, attesting that the alleged birth certificate of Obama is a computer generated forgery
7. DVD of the expert testimony at Obama eligibility trial in GA and press conference by sheriff Arpaio.
8. Certificates of a candidate from the Democratic Party of the state of Hawaii for Gore and Kerry with the wording “eligible according to the U.S. Constitution” and certificate of the candidate for ineligible Obama, where words “eligible according to the provisions of the U.S. Constitution” were removed in criminal complicity with Obama
9. Certificates of a candidate sent by the DNC for Kerry and for Obama for 49 states with the same wording and the certificate for Obama sent by the DNC to Hawaii with additional wording in order to cover up the fact that the Democratic party of Hawaii refused to sign the proper wording

In light of all of the above information, the only reason for Pelosi to create different certifications to Hawaii and 49 other states, was the fact that she knew that Barack Obama did not possess any valid identification papers, that indeed Obama is using forged documents, is not a natural born US citizen and is not eligible for the US presidency according to the US constitution. Chairman of the Democratic party of Hawaii Brian Schatz and secretary of the Democratic party of Hawaii Lynn Matusow knew the same facts and refused to sign the usual certification of the candidate for the state of Hawaii, which contained the wording “eligible under the provisions of the U.S. Constitution”. Consequently a deal was made, whereby Brian Schatz and Lynn Matusow falsified the certificate of candidate, which was required by the state of Hawaii and removed the wording eligible according to the Constitution”. In order to provide cover and legitimacy, Pelosi added this required wording to the certification sent to the state of Hawaii. The only conceivable reason for Pelosi to defraud the court and defraud Judge Reid, was to cover up fraud committed by Pelosi in 2008 during the DNC convention.

Pelosi violated code of ethics by being complicit and aiding and abetting forgery, Social Security fraud and uttering of forged documents by Obama.

Pelosi violated the code of ethics by committing treason against the United States of America and allowing a foreign national with forged identification papers to get into the White House.

Violations of ethics by Pelosi are so egregious that they warrant an investigation and an ultimate vote of impeachment of Pelosi. Due to egregious nature of violations, I demand an expedient handling of this complaint and a response from you in the next 10 working days,

Sincerely,



Dr. Orly Taitz, ESQ

Cc Kerry W. Kircher, counsel for Nancy Pelosi, General Counsel House of Representatives

Cc Congressman Issa, House Oversight committee

Cc Congressman Smith, House Judiciary committee

Cc Mike Rogers, House Intelligence Committee

Cc Public Integrity Unit Department of Justice

Cc International Criminal Bar panel

Cc Inter American court for Human Rights

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
219 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6532
(202) 225-9700
FAX: (202) 226-1360

June 8, 2012

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Dr. Orly Taitz
29839 Santa Margarita
Suite 100
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688

**Re: *Taitz v. Elections Commission, et al.*, No. 49D141203MI012046
(Marion County Indiana Superior Court)**

Dear Dr. Taitz:

I write on behalf of the Honorable Nancy Pelosi, U.S. Representative for the 8th congressional district of California and Democratic Leader of the U.S. House of Representatives, in response to a Subpoena (copy attached), apparently issued at your behest, and sent by you by regular mail to the Congresswoman's congressional office – 235 Cannon House Building – in Washington, D.C. The Subpoena, which has a return date of June 12, 2012, was received in the Congresswoman's Washington, D.C. congressional office on June 6, 2012.

As best we can tell, the Subpoena purports to direct the Congresswoman both to produce certain documents – “any and all documents in regards to Ms. Pelosi as a Chairwoman of Democratic Convention signing of [sic] altered Certificate of Candidacy for Barack Obama, where the words ‘eligible by the US Constitution’ were removed” – and to appear at a hearing in Marion County Indiana Superior Court on Tuesday, June 12, 2012 at 1:30 p.m.

Because the Subpoena was mailed to Congresswoman Pelosi's Washington, D.C. congressional office, and because it does not indicate otherwise, we presume the Subpoena is directed to her in her capacity as a Member of Congress, and that it only seeks documents, if there are any, that she would possess, control or have custody of in that capacity.

Dr. Orly Taitz
June 8, 2012
Page 2

Subject to the foregoing, the Subpoena is defective, and the Congresswoman declines to comply with it, for the following nine reasons:

1. The Subpoena was issued by the Marion County Superior Court, an Indiana state court, but it was not served on Congresswoman Pelosi in Indiana. Rather, the Subpoena was mailed to the Congresswoman's congressional office in Washington, D.C. Congresswoman Pelosi does not reside in Indiana, and has no regular or ongoing presence in Indiana. "A state court cannot require the attendance of a witness who is a nonresident of, and is absent from, the state." *Forbes v. State*, 793 N.E.2d 1112, 1115 (Ind. Ct. App. 2003) (citing 81 Am. Jur. 2d Witnesses § 15 (1992)), *vacated on other grounds*, 810 N.E.2d 681 (Ind. 2004). These territorial limitations also extend to subpoenas seeking documents from outside the state. *Id.* at 1116 (citing *Hous. Bus. Journal, Inc. v. Office of Comptroller of Currency*, 86 F.3d 1208, 1213 (D.C. Cir. 1996)).

2. In Indiana, the only caveat to the rule that out-of-state witnesses and out-of-state documents are beyond the reach of state court subpoenas is the following: "the court *upon proper application and cause shown* may authorize the service of a subpoena outside the state in accordance with and as permitted by . . . law." Ind. R. Tr. P. 45(E) (emphasis added). Insofar as we are aware, you did not apply to the Court pursuant to, and the Court did not authorize you to proceed under, Indiana Rule of Trial Procedure 45(E).

3. Indiana Rule of Trial Procedure 45(G) requires that the issuer of a subpoena tender, with the subpoena, "fees for one . . . day's attendance and the mileage allowed by law." The Subpoena mailed to Congresswoman Pelosi's Washington, D.C. congressional office was not accompanied by the appropriate – or indeed any – fees.

4. The underlying lawsuit, as we understand it, seeks review of a decision by the Indiana Election Commission, which review is governed by the Indiana Administrative Orders and Procedures Act, Indiana Code § 4-21.5, *et seq.* See Ind. Code § 4-21.5-5-1. Judicial review pursuant to that Act is "confined to the agency record." Ind. Code § 4-21.5-5-11. Accordingly, the Subpoena to Congresswoman Pelosi appears to be fundamentally inconsistent with the Indiana Administrative Orders and Procedures Act insofar as it seeks to interject into the

Dr. Orly Taitz
June 8, 2012
Page 3

proceeding information of a factual nature not contained in the agency record below.

5. The Subpoena is premature in light of the pendency of defendants' Motion to Dismiss . . . (filed Apr. 16, 2012). The testimony and/or documents you seek from Congresswoman Pelosi constitute information that is outside the pleadings, and "[i]t is elementary that [a judge], in considering [a] motion[] to dismiss . . . ha[s] no right to consider any facts except those well-pleaded in the amended complaint." *Salem Bank & Trust Co. v. Whitcomb*, 289 N.E.2d 537, 541 (Ind. Ct. App. 1972). Obviously, if the defendants' motion to dismiss – which is scheduled for a hearing on June 12, 2012, *see* Order (filed May 21, 2012) – is granted, the case will be over and your Subpoena necessarily will be moot.

6. Even if you were otherwise entitled to discover information from Congresswoman Pelosi – which you are not – Indiana Rule of Trial Procedure 26(B)(1) limits the scope of that discovery to information "which is relevant to the subject-matter involved in the pending action." Based on our review of your First Amended Complaint (filed May 7, 2012), we see no basis for concluding that any information the Congresswoman possesses might be "relevant to the subject-matter involved in the pending action."

7. To the extent the Subpoena seeks to compel testimony, federal common law prohibits high-ranking government officials, such as Congresswoman and Democratic Leader Pelosi, from being compelled to provide testimony absent compelling or extraordinary circumstances. *See, e.g., In re FDIC*, 58 F.3d 1055, 1060 (5th Cir. 1995); *State Board of Pharmacy v. Superior Court*, 78 Cal. App. 3d 641, 644-45 (Cal. Ct. App. 1978) ("This view has several times been confirmed, and insofar as we can determine never rejected, by the courts of this nation.")¹ Members of Congress are covered by this line of cases. *See, e.g., Bardoff v. United States*, 628 A.2d 86, 90 (D.C. 1993) (affirming trial court order quashing subpoenas to Senators and Senate Committee counsel on ground, among others,

¹ This body of case law derives from *United States v. Morgan*, 313 U.S. 409, 422 (1941), which opined, in dicta, that the Secretary of Agriculture should not have been called to testify in a proceeding which challenged one of his administrative orders because of separation of powers concerns.

that defendants “failed to proffer any reason why others present who did not hold such high office could not provide the testimony”); *Springfield Terminal Ry. Co. v. United Transp. Union*, No. 89-0073, 1989 WL 225031, at *2 (D.D.C. May 18, 1989) (refusing to compel Congressman to submit to deposition or produce documents because discovery would “disrupt [his] work as the ranking Minority Member of the House Appropriations Committee”).

The policy reason that “the practice of calling high officials as witnesses should be discouraged,” is simple and “obvious[: h]igh ranking government officials have greater duties and time constraints than other witnesses.” *In re United States of America (Kessler)*, 985 F.2d 510, 512 (11th Cir. 1993); *see also Warzon v. Drew*, 155 F.R.D. 183, 185 (E.D. Wis. 1994) (“The immunity is warranted because such officials must be allowed the freedom to perform their tasks without the constant interference of the discovery process.”).

These cases make clear that at least three factors must be present before extraordinary circumstances can be found: (i) the information sought must not be obtainable elsewhere; (ii) the information sought must be essential (not merely relevant) to the party’s case; and (iii) provision of the testimony must not interfere with the official’s government responsibilities. *See, e.g., In re FDIC*, 58 F.3d at 1062 (If testimony is available from alternate witnesses, “it will be the rarest of cases . . . in which exceptional circumstances can be shown.”); *Hankins v. City of Phila.*, No. 95-1449, 1996 WL 524334, at *1 (E.D. Pa. Sept. 12, 1996) (“A party . . . must demonstrate that [testimony of a high ranking government official] is likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, is essential to that party’s case and that this evidence is not available through any alternative source or less burdensome means.”); *Marisol A. v. Giuliani*, No. 95-10533, 1998 WL 132810, at *2-3 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 23, 1998) (“Depositions . . . are permitted [only] upon a showing that: (1) the deposition is necessary in order to obtain relevant information that cannot be obtained from any other source and (2) the deposition would not significantly interfere with the ability of the official to perform his governmental duties. . . . [W]hen applying the first prong, courts only permit the deposition of a high ranking government official if he has unique personal knowledge that cannot be obtained elsewhere.”).

You have not demonstrated that any of these three factors are satisfied here,

Dr. Orly Taitz
June 8, 2012
Page 5

let alone all three.

8. House Rule VIII governs the receipt and disposition of subpoenas that “relat[e] to the official functions of the House” and that are directed to House Members. *See* Rule VIII.1, Rules of the House of Representatives (112th Cong.), *available at* http://rules.house.gov/Media/file/PDF_112_1/legislativetext/112th%20Rules%20Pamphlet.pdf. Rule VIII, like the other Rules of the House, was duly adopted by the 112th Congress pursuant to the Rulemaking Clause of the Constitution, U.S. Const. art. I, § 5, cl. 2, which is a “broad grant of authority,” *Consumer’s Union of the U.S., Inc. v. Periodical Corrs. Ass’n*, 515 F.2d 1341, 1343 (D.C. Cir. 1975), that sits “[a]t the very core of our constitutional separation of powers,” *Walker v. Jones*, 733 F.2d 923, 938 (D.C. Cir. 1984) (MacKinnon, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part). Rules promulgated pursuant to the Rulemaking Clause, within constitutional limitations, are “absolute and beyond the challenge of any other body or tribunal.” *United States v. Ballin*, 144 U.S. 1, 5 (1892); *see also United States v. Smith*, 286 U.S. 6, 33 (1932) (same). Furthermore, the Rules of the House “have the force of law.” *Shape of Things to Come, Inc. v. Cnty. of Kane*, 588 F. Supp. 1192, 1193 (N.D. Ill. 1984).

Rule VIII.3 authorizes Members to respond to subpoenas that are “properly served” only if, among other things, the subpoena “is a proper exercise of jurisdiction by the court,” and the information sought is “material and relevant.” Rule VIII.3. “Materiality,” of course, is a higher standard than mere relevance. *See Weinstock v. United States*, 231 F.2d 699, 701-02 (D.C. Cir. 1956) (“material” means “to have probative weight, i.e., [to be] reasonably likely to influence the tribunal in making a determination required to be made”). Because your Subpoena was not properly served and was not a proper exercise of jurisdiction by the Court (as discussed above), and because the information you seek is not even relevant, let alone material (also as discussed above), the Congresswoman is prohibited from complying with the Subpoena as a matter of House Rules.


9. Finally, with respect to the *duces tecum* aspect of the Subpoena, Congresswoman Pelosi has no responsive documents in her possession, custody or control.

* * *

Dr. Orly Taitz
June 8, 2012
Page 6

In light of all of the above, I respectfully request that you withdraw your Subpoena to Congresswoman Pelosi. Please note that, as a result of the stringent mail delivery procedures put in place by the House after the anthrax and ricin attacks several years ago, communications sent to our office by regular mail may be significantly delayed. Accordingly, please communicate with this office either by fax (202/226-1360) or email (kerry.kircher@mail.house.gov).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Kerry W. Kircher", written in a cursive style.

Kerry W. Kircher

cc: Honorable Nancy Pelosi

Jefferson S. Garn, Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Indiana Attorney General
302 West Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2770

Karl Swihart
460 Austin Drive
Avon, IN 46123

Edward Kesler
3070 S. Leisure Place
West Terre Haute, IN 47885

Frank Weyl
701 N. Brentwood Lane
Muncie, IN 47304

Dr. Orly Taitz

June 8, 2012

Page 7

Bob Kern

1040 N. Delaware Street

Indianapolis, IN 46202

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT
MARION COUNTY

DR. ORLY TAITZ, ESQ	)	CASE #49D0103MI012046
KARL SWIHART	)	D141203
EDWARD KESLER	)	
FRANK WEYL	)	
BOB KERN	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs	)	
	)	
V	)	
	)	
ELECTIONS COMMISSION	)	
SECRETARY OF STATE OF INDIANA	)	
Defendants	)	

SUBPOENA

TO: Nancy Pelosi
235 Cannon HOB
Washington, D.C. 20515

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED, to appear in court on behalf of

☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant to be:

☐ Sworn as a Witness

☐ Produce the Document on the Attached List:

Any and all documents in regards to Ms. Pelosi as a Chairwoman of Democratic Convention signing of altered Certificate of Candidacy for Barack Obama, where the words "eligible by the US Constitution" were removed.

The court date, time and location are:

DATE: June 12

TIME: 1:30pm

LOCATION: Superior Court of the State of Indiana, Marion County, 200 E. Washington St. Indianapolis, IN 46204, Hon S.K. Reid presiding.

You are required to attend from day to day and from time to time until the hearing is completed or you have been released by the judge.

Elizabeth A. White
CLERK OF THE MARION CIRCUIT COURT
MAY 30 2012

HEREIN FAIL NOT UNDER PENALTY OF LAW BY AUTHORITY OF THE
ASSIGNED JUDGE.

Date: 5/22/12

/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz
Plaintiff *Pro se*

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT
MARION COUNTY

DR. ORLY TAITZ, ESQ	)	CASE #49D0103MI012046
KARL SWIHART	)	D141203
EDWARD KESLER	)	
FRANK WEYL	)	
BOB KERN	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs	)	
	)	
V	)	
	)	
ELECTIONS COMMISSION	)	
SECRETARY OF STATE OF INDIANA	)	
Defendants	)	

SUBPOENA

TO: Nancy Pelosi
235 Cannon HOB
Washington, D.C. 20515

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED, to appear in court on behalf of
☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant to be:

☐ Sworn as a Witness

☐ Produce the Document on the Attached List:

Any and all documents in regards to Ms. Pelosi as a Chairwoman of Democratic Convention signing of altered Certificate of Candidacy for Barack Obama, where the words "eligible by the US Constitution" were removed.

The court date, time and location are:

DATE: June 12

TIME: 1:30pm

LOCATION: Superior Court of the State of Indiana, Marion County, 200 E. Washington St. Indianapolis, IN 46204, Hon S.K. Reid presiding.

You are required to attend from day to day and from time to time until the hearing is completed or you have been released by the judge.

Charon A. White
CLERK OF THE MARION CIRCUIT COURT
MAY 30 2012

HEREIN FAIL NOT UNDER PENALTY OF LAW BY AUTHORITY OF THE
ASSIGNED JUDGE.

Date: 5/22/12

/s/ Dr. Orly Taitz
Plaintiff *Pro se*

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT
FOR MARION COUNTY

DR. ORLY TAITZ, *et al.*

Petitioners,

v.

ELECTIONS COMMISSION, *et al.*

Respondents.

No. 49D141203MI012046


Civil Court Division 14

Judge: Honorable S.K. Reid

NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO SUBPOENA

Non-party the Honorable Nancy Pelosi, U.S. Representative for the 8th congressional district of California and Democratic Leader of the U.S. House of Representatives, through counsel, respectfully gives notice that (i) on June 6, 2012, she received at her congressional office in Washington, D.C., by regular first-class mail, from plaintiff Dr. Orly Taitz, a Subpoena purporting to seek documents and testimony at a hearing in this Court scheduled for June 12, 2012, at 1:30 p.m., and (ii) on June 8, 2012, Congresswoman Pelosi objected to the Subpoena by letter from her counsel to Dr. Taitz, a copy of which letter is attached.

Respectfully submitted,


Kerry W. Kircher, General Counsel
William Pittard, Deputy General Counsel
Christine Davenport, Sr. Assistant Counsel
Todd B. Tatelman, Assistant Counsel
Mary Beth Walker, Assistant Counsel

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
219 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515
202-225-9700 (telephone)

202-226-1360 (facsimile)

Counsel for Non-Party the Honorable Nancy Pelosi

June 8, 2012

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on June 8, 2012, I served one copy of the foregoing Notice of Objection to

Subpoena by overnight courier on each of the following:

Jefferson S. Garn, Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Indiana Attorney General
302 West Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2770

Dr. Orly Taitz
29839 Santa Margarita
Suite 100
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688


and by first-class mail, postage prepaid, on each of the following:

Karl Swihart
460 Austin Drive
Avon, IN 46123

Edward Kesler
3070 S. Leisure Place
West Terre Haute, IN 47885

Frank Weyl
701 N. Brentwood Lane
Muncie, IN 47304

Bob Kern
1040 N. Delaware Street
Indianapolis, IN 46202



Rachel F. Sussman

EXHIBIT 1

State of Arizona)
) ss.
County of Maricopa)

AFFIDAVIT

I, the undersigned, being first duly sworn, do hereby state under oath and under penalty of perjury that the facts are true:

1. I am over the age of 18 and am a resident of Arizona. The information contained in this affidavit is based upon my own personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, could testify competently thereto. I am the duly elected Sheriff of Maricopa County, Arizona, and I have been a law enforcement officer and official, in both state and federal government, for 51 years.
2. In August of last year, a group of citizens from the Surprise Arizona Tea Party organization met with me in my office and presented a petition signed by approximately 250 residents of Maricopa County, asking if I would investigate the controversy surrounding President Barrack Obama's birth certificate authenticity and his eligibility to serve as the President of the United States.
3. This group expressed its concern that, up until that point, no law enforcement agency in the country had ever gone on record indicating that they had either looked into this or that they were willing to do so, citing lack of resources and jurisdictional challenges.
4. The Maricopa County Sheriff's Office is in a rather unique position. Under the Arizona Constitution and Arizona Revised Statutes, as the elected Sheriff of Maricopa County, I have the authority to request the aid of the volunteer posse, located in the county, to assist me in the execution of my duties. Having organized a volunteer posse of approximately 3,000 members, I, as the Sheriff of the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, can authorize an investigation go forward to answer these questions at virtually no expense to the tax payer.
5. The Cold Case posse agreed to undertake the investigation requested by the 250 citizens of Maricopa County. This posse consists of former police officers and attorneys who have worked investigating the controversy surrounding Barack Obama. The investigation mainly focused on the electronic document that was

presented as President Obama's long form birth certificate to the American people and to citizens of Maricopa County by the White House on April 27, 2011.

6. The investigation led to a closer examination of the procedures regarding the registration of births at the Hawaii Department of Health and various statements made by Hawaii government officials regarding the Obama birth controversy over the last five years.
7. Upon close examination of the evidence, it is my belief that forgery and fraud was likely committed in key identity documents including President Obama's long-form birth certificate, his Selective Service Registration card, and his Social Security number.
8. My investigators and I believe that President Obama's long-form birth certificate is a computer-generated document, was manufactured electronically, and that it did not originate in a paper format, as claimed by the White House. Most importantly, the "registrar's stamp" in the computer generated document released by the White House and posted on the White House website, may have been imported from another unknown source document. The effect of the stamp not being placed on the document pursuant to state and federal laws means that there is probable cause that the document is a forgery, and therefore, it cannot be used as a verification, legal or otherwise, of the date, place or circumstances of Barack Obama's birth.
9. The Cold Case Posse law enforcement investigation into Barack Obama's birth certificate and his eligibility to be president is on-going. The on-going nature of the investigation is due to additional information that has come to light since we held the press conference in March, 2012. As soon as that information has been properly verified by the Cold Case Posse, I will release that information to the public.

Executed this 12 day of June, 2012, in
Maricopa County, Arizona.


Joseph M. Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff

Sworn to and subscribed before me this
12th day of June, 2012.

Lynda Jenise Moreno




EXHIBIT 2

AFFIDAVIT

In the State of Kentucky, County of Warren, this affiant being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Timothy Lee Adams, residing at 1132 Fairview Avenue, Apt. F, Bowling Green, KY 42101 and that the statements below are true concerning his employment at the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division in Honolulu, Hawaii:

1. I was employed at the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division from May 2008 through September 2008.
2. My position at the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division was Senior Elections Clerk.
3. My responsibilities were to oversee the activities of the Absentee Ballot Office.
4. During the course of my employment, I became aware that many requests were being made to the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division, the Hawaii Office of Elections, and the Hawaii Department of Health from around the country to obtain a copy of then-Senator Barack Obama's long-form, hospital-generated birth certificate.
5. Senior officers in the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division told me on multiple occasions that no Hawaii long-form, hospital-generated birth certificate existed for Senator Obama in the Hawaii Department of Health and there was no record that any such document had ever been on file in the Hawaii Department of Health or any other branch or department of the Hawaii government.
6. Senior officers in the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division further told me on multiple occasions that Hawaii State government officials had made inquiries about Senator Obama's birth records to officials at Queens Medical Center and Kapi'olani Medical Center in Honolulu and that neither hospital had any record of Senator Obama having been born there, even though Governor Abercrombie has asserted and various Hawaii government officials continue to assert Barack Obama, Jr. was born at Kapi'olani Medical Center on August 4, 1961.
7. During the course of my employment, I came to understand that for political reasons, various officials in the government of Hawaii, including then-Governor Linda Lingle and various officials of the Hawaii Department of Health, including Dr. Chiyome Fukino, the director of the Hawaii Department of Health, were making representations that Senator Obama was born in Hawaii, even though no government official in Hawaii could find a long-form birth certificate for Senator Obama that had been issued by a Hawaii hospital at the time of his birth.
8. During the course of my employment, I was told by senior officers in the City and County of Honolulu Elections Division to stop inquiring about Senator Obama's Hawaii birth records, even though it was common knowledge among my fellow employees that no Hawaii long-form, hospital generated birth certificate existed for Senator Obama.

In witness whereof he has hereto set his hand and seal.

Affiant's signature:

Timothy Lee Adams
adjunct faculty, UH

Affiant's title:

I, Heather Berry, a Notary Public of the County and State aforesaid, hereby certify that Timothy Lee Adams personally known to me to be the affiant in the foregoing affidavit, personally appeared before me this day and having been duly sworn deposes and says the facts set forth in the above affidavit are true and correct.

Witness my hand and official seal this 21st day of March, 2012.

Notary Public's signature:

Heather Berry
My Commission Expires
July 19, 2014

My commission expires:



EXHIBIT 3

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA)

)S.S.

COUNTY OF DUVAL)

I, Felicitio Papa, am over 18 years old and resident of 7579 Walden Road, Jacksonville, FL 32244 with FL DL #P100-245-45-082-0. I do not suffer from any mental impairment and I competently attest to the following under the penalty of perjury:

1. I am a professional web developer having graduated with a bachelor's degree in IT from ITT Technical Institute in Indianapolis, IN. I have over ten years of experience of in web designs and development and I have often used software such as Adobe Photoshop and Adobe Illustrator.
2. On April 15, 2010, the Whitehouse website, www.whitehouse.gov, released the 2009 Form 1040 of Income Tax Return of President Barack H. Obama:
<http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/president-obama-2010-complete-return.pdf>.
3. I downloaded this 65-page pdf file on my computer. I observed that all information about the president's and the first lady's social security numbers were redacted. All blocks or spaces for social security numbers were blank, or "white-out."
4. I submit Exhibit A (attached herewith, page 43 part of 2009 Form 1040) Form 709 U.S. Gift Tax Return of Pres. Barack Obama. The space for his social security number is redacted or blank.
5. I submit top Exhibit B (attached herewith, page 49 part of 2009 Form 1040) Form 709 U.S. Gift Tax Return of First Lady Michelle Obama. The space for her social security number is redacted or blank.
6. Then through Adobe Illustrator software, I opened Exhibit A and B and found that these two pdf files have two layers each, not just one layer. When the top layer is turned off or dragged away, the social security numbers of both persons are revealed.
7. I submit Exhibit A1 (attached herewith) Form 709 U.S. Gift Tax Return of Pres. Barack Obama with his social security number revealed. The following information are revealed:

1. Barack Obama's SSN, 042-68-4425
2. Michelle Obama's SSN 350-60-2302
3. An initial MLO on the side of Form 709
4. A 1/4 inch dark square with notation on it.
5. Preparer's SSN or PIN P00570974
EIN 36-2700600
Phone no. 312/372-0440

709

United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return

2009

See separate instructions.

1 Donor's name (last, first, middle initial) MICHELLE L. OBAMA		2 Donor's address (street, city, state, ZIP+4) 1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20500		3 Donor's social security number 350-58-7302	
4 Recipient's name (last, first, middle initial) BARACK H. OBAMA		5 Recipient's address (street, city, state, ZIP+4) ILLINOIS UNITED STATES		6 Recipient's social security number 042-60-4405	
7 Date of gift 4/7/10		8 Description of property See separate instructions.		9 Fair market value of property at date of gift 345,800.	
10 Gift tax paid (see instructions)		11 Generation-skipping tax paid (see instructions)		12 Total tax paid (10 + 11) 0.	
13 Amount of gift tax credit (see instructions)		14 Amount of generation-skipping tax credit (see instructions)		15 Total credit (13 + 14) 0.	
16 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		17 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		18 Total refund (16 + 17) 0.	
19 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		20 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		21 Total refund (19 + 20) 0.	
22 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		23 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		24 Total refund (22 + 23) 0.	
25 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		26 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		27 Total refund (25 + 26) 0.	
28 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		29 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		30 Total refund (28 + 29) 0.	
31 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		32 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		33 Total refund (31 + 32) 0.	
34 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		35 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		36 Total refund (34 + 35) 0.	
37 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		38 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		39 Total refund (37 + 38) 0.	
40 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		41 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		42 Total refund (40 + 41) 0.	
43 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		44 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		45 Total refund (43 + 44) 0.	
46 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		47 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		48 Total refund (46 + 47) 0.	
49 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		50 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		51 Total refund (49 + 50) 0.	
52 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		53 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		54 Total refund (52 + 53) 0.	
55 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		56 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		57 Total refund (55 + 56) 0.	
58 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		59 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		60 Total refund (58 + 59) 0.	
61 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		62 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		63 Total refund (61 + 62) 0.	
64 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		65 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		66 Total refund (64 + 65) 0.	
67 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		68 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		69 Total refund (67 + 68) 0.	
70 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		71 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		72 Total refund (70 + 71) 0.	
73 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		74 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		75 Total refund (73 + 74) 0.	
76 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		77 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		78 Total refund (76 + 77) 0.	
79 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		80 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		81 Total refund (79 + 80) 0.	
82 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		83 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		84 Total refund (82 + 83) 0.	
85 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		86 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		87 Total refund (85 + 86) 0.	
88 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		89 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		90 Total refund (88 + 89) 0.	
89 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		90 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		91 Total refund (89 + 90) 0.	
90 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		91 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		92 Total refund (90 + 91) 0.	
91 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		92 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		93 Total refund (91 + 92) 0.	
92 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		93 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		94 Total refund (92 + 93) 0.	
93 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		94 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		95 Total refund (93 + 94) 0.	
94 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		95 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		96 Total refund (94 + 95) 0.	
95 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		96 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		97 Total refund (95 + 96) 0.	
96 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		97 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		98 Total refund (96 + 97) 0.	
97 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		98 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		99 Total refund (97 + 98) 0.	
98 Amount of gift tax refund (see instructions)		99 Amount of generation-skipping tax refund (see instructions)		100 Total refund (98 + 99) 0.	

Sign Here

Paid Preparer Use Only

WINEBERG SOLHEIM HOWELL & SHAIN, PC
150 N LASALLE ST, STE 3200
CHICAGO, IL 60601

P00577771
15-2700600
312/373-0440

709

2009-05010-BAMA-REV-07-09

709

United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return

2009

See separate instructions

1 Donor's name		2 Donor's surname		3 Donor's social security number	
BARACK H.		OBAMA		012-68-4425	
4 Address (including apartment, room, or suite number)		5 State		6 ZIP code	
1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW		ILLINOIS		WASHINGTON, DC 20500	
7 Country (see instructions)		8		9	
UNITED STATES					
10		11		12	
13		14		15	
16		17		18	
19		20		21	
22		23		24	
25		26		27	
28		29		30	
31		32		33	
34		35		36	
37		38		39	
40		41		42	
43		44		45	
46		47		48	
49		50		51	
52		53		54	
55		56		57	
58		59		60	
61		62		63	
64		65		66	
67		68		69	
70		71		72	
73		74		75	
76		77		78	
79		80		81	
82		83		84	
85		86		87	
88		89		90	
91		92		93	
94		95		96	
97		98		99	
100		101		102	
103		104		105	
106		107		108	
109		110		111	
112		113		114	
115		116		117	
118		119		120	
121		122		123	
124		125		126	
127		128		129	
130		131		132	
133		134		135	
136		137		138	
139		140		141	
142		143		144	
145		146		147	
148		149		150	
151		152		153	
154		155		156	
157		158		159	
160		161		162	
163		164		165	
166		167		168	
169		170		171	
172		173		174	
175		176		177	
178		179		180	
181		182		183	
184		185		186	
187		188		189	
190		191		192	
193		194		195	
196		197		198	
199		200		201	
202		203		204	
205		206		207	
208		209		210	
211		212		213	
214		215		216	
217		218		219	
220		221		222	
223		224		225	
226		227		228	
229		230		231	
232		233		234	
235		236		237	
238		239		240	
241		242		243	
244		245		246	
247		248		249	
250		251		252	
253		254		255	
256		257		258	
259		260		261	
262		263		264	
265		266		267	
268		269		270	
271		272		273	
274		275		276	
277		278		279	
280		281		282	
283		284		285	
286		287		288	
289		290		291	
292		293		294	
295		296		297	
298		299		300	
301		302		303	
304		305		306	
307		308		309	
310		311		312	
313		314		315	
316		317		318	
319		320		321	
322		323		324	
325		326		327	
328		329		330	
331		332		333	
334		335		336	
337		338		339	
340		341		342	
343		344		345	
346		347		348	
349		350		351	
352		353		354	
355		356		357	
358		359		360	
361		362		363	
364		365		366	
367		368		369	
370		371		372	
373		374		375	
376		377		378	
379		380		381	
382		383		384	
385		386		387	
388		389		390	
391		392		393	
394		395		396	
397		398		399	
400		401		402	
403		404		405	
406		407		408	
409		410		411	
412		413		414	
415		416		417	
418		419		420	
421		422		423	
424		425		426	
427		428		429	
430		431		432	
433		434		435	
436		437		438	
439		440		441	
442		443		444	
445		446		447	
448		449		450	
451		452		453	
454		455		456	
457		458		459	
460		461		462	
463		464		465	
466		467		468	
469		470		471	
472		473		474	
475		476		477	
478		479		480	
481		482		483	
484		485		486	
487		488		489	
490		491		492	
493		494		495	
496		4			

709

United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return

2009

▶ See separate instructions

3 Donor's SSN or EIN number

BAFACK H.

OBAMA

ILLINOIS

1600 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW

UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, DC 20500

Part 1 General Information

4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----

Consenting spouse's signature *Michelle Obama* Date *4-7-10*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----

19 ☒ I am the donor of the gift.

20 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my spouse.

21 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my child.

22 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

23 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

24 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

25 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

26 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

27 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

28 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

29 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

30 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

31 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

32 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

33 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

34 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

35 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

36 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

37 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

38 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

39 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

40 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

41 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

42 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

43 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

44 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

45 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

46 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

47 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

48 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

49 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

50 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

51 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

52 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

53 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

54 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

55 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

56 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

57 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

58 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

59 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

60 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

61 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

62 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

63 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

64 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

65 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

66 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

67 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

68 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

69 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

70 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

71 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

72 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

73 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

74 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

75 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

76 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

77 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

78 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

79 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

80 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

81 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

82 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

83 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

84 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

85 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

86 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

87 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

88 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

89 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

90 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

91 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

92 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

93 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

94 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

95 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

96 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

97 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

98 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

99 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

100 ☒ I am the donor of the gift and the donee is my grandchild.

Sign Here ☒ *Michelle Obama*

3/10

BERG SOLHEIM HOWELL & SHAIN PC

100 N LASALLE ST, STE 2100

CHICAGO, IL 60601

709

United States Gift (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return

2009

► See separate instructions

[illegible]

Attach check or money order here.

**Sign
Here**

Preparer's Use Only

EXIBIT 4

I, Linda Jordan, am over 18 years old, do not suffer from any mental impairment, have personal knowledge of the facts listed below and declare under penalty of perjury:

I used the government run E-Verify system to verify the employment eligibility for Barack H Obama (Obama) and it revealed a "Notice of Mismatch" between Obama's name, birth date and Social Security Number (SSN), compared to the information the Social Security Administration has on file.

I saw Obama's Selective Service Registration (SSR) form which was available on the web at www.sss.gov and copied the SSR. Obama used on that form. I also read the reports of licensed investigators Neil Sankey, Susan Daniels and the opinion of retired senior deportation officer of the department of Homeland Security John Sampson, that the SSR (Obama was using was fraudulent and or never issued to him.

Between October 2008 and May 2011, I submitted several requests to agencies and people with the legal responsibility and authority to investigate the use of forged documents and election fraud, concerning Obama's birth records and SSR. (attachment A)

To date no one with the legal responsibility and authority has responded to any of my requests.

I read part of the testimony of Marianne MacArthur before the Committee on Ways and Means Sub Committee on Social Security in the House of Representatives dated April 14, 2011. She explained that a SSR in conjunction with a proper identity document determine whether a person is authorized to work. I at that time said that the E-Verify system run by the government is a free, internet-based system that allows employers to electronically verify the employment eligibility of their employees. The Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986 required all employers to verify the identity and employment eligibility of all new employees regardless of citizenship or national origin.

I considered myself to be one of the employers of the President of the United States.

On July 26, 2011, I tried to enroll in the E-Verify System but it required the employer to enter data from their employees I-9 Employment Eligibility Verification Form. I have been unable to locate one for Obama.

On August 17, 2011, I went back on the E-Verify website and saw that there was a "Self-Service" function that was more streamlined and easier to use when checking an employees eligibility. I entered the name Barack H Obama, birth date August 4, 1961 and SSN 042-68-4425. This data was entered correctly. The report I got back from the SSA included a "Notice of Mismatch with Social Security Administration (SSA) Records", (attachment B)

Signed

Linda Jordan 4419 So. Dawson St. Seattle WA 98118 206.723.6471

In the city of

Seattle Washington

County of

King

Dated the

17 day of

August

2011

Signature of the Notary

Date



EXIBIT 5

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA)


)S.S.

COUNTY OF DUVAL)

I, Felicito Papa, am over 18 years old and resident of 7579 Walden Road, Jacksonville, FL 32244 with FL DL #P100-245-45-082-0. I do not suffer from any mental impairment and I competently attest to the following under the penalty of perjury:

1. I am a professional web developer having graduated with a bachelor's degree in IT from IIT Technical Institute in Indianapolis, IN. I have over ten years of experience in web designs and development and I have often used software such as Adobe Photoshop and Adobe Illustrator.
2. On April 15, 2010, the Whitehouse website, www.whitehouse.gov, released the 2009 Form 1040 of Income Tax Return of President Barack H. Obama:
<http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/president-obama-2010-complete-return.pdf>.
3. I downloaded this 65-page pdf file on my computer. I observed that all information about the president's and the first lady's social security numbers were redacted. All blocks or spaces for social security numbers were blank, or "white-out."
4. I submit Exhibit A (attached herewith, page 43 part of 2009 Form 1040) Form 709 U.S. Gift Tax Return of Pres. Barack Obama. The space for his social security number is redacted or blank.
5. I submit top Exhibit B (attached herewith, page 49 part of 2009 Form 1040) Form 709 U.S. Gift Tax Return of First Lady Michelle Obama. The space for her social security number is redacted or blank.
6. Then through Adobe Illustrator software, I opened Exhibit A and B and found that these two pdf files have two layers each, not just one layer. When the top layer is turned off or dragged away, the social security numbers of both persons are revealed.
7. I submit Exhibit A1 (attached herewith) Form 709 U.S. Gift Tax Return of Pres. Barack Obama with his social security number revealed. The following information are revealed:

1. Barack Obama's SSN. **042-68-4425**
2. Michelle Obama's SSN **350-60-2302**
3. An initial MLO on the side of Form 709
4. A 1/4 inch dark square with notation on it.
5. Preparer's SSN or PIN **P00570974**
EIN **36-2700600**
Phone no. **312/372-0440**

Welcome to RootsWeb.com [Sign in](#)[DISCOVER MORE >](#)[Home](#) [Searches](#) [Family Trees](#) [Mailing Lists](#) [Message Boards](#) [Web Sites](#) [Passwords](#) [Help](#)

Type In Your Name & Get Your Instant Family Tree!

Your First Name:

Your Last Name:

Your State:

Nationwide

Search »

Social Security Death Index Search Results

85,156,742 Records
last updated on 9-28-2009The key to your research
[Join Ancestry.com Today!](#)

The most full-featured SSDI search engine on the internet

Field	Value	Records	Results
SSN	485405154	1	

Viewing 1-1 of 1

Name	Birth	Death	Last Residence	Last Benefit	SSN	Issued	Tools	Order Record?
LUCILLE I BALLANTYNE	22 Dec 1912	13 Sep 1998 (V)	50140 (Lamoni, Decatur, IA)	(none specified)	485-40-5154	Iowa	SS-5 Letter Add Post-em Search Ancestry.com	

Viewing 1-1 of 1

(V)=(Verified) Report verified with a family member or someone acting on behalf of a family member.
(P)=(Proof) Death Certificate Observed.You can now order the SS-5 Form for deceased individuals directly from the Social Security Administration online at <https://secure.ssa.gov/apps9/eFOIA-FEWWeb/internet/main.jsp>Search
Hundreds of
Thousands
of
Family Tree

First Name:

Last Name:

Search

One Family

Last name ExactFirst Name Middle Name (initial)SSN 485405154

Last Residence

Last Benefit

Zip State County City Birth

EXHIBIT 6

May 10, 2011

Affidavit

I, Douglas B. Vogt, am over 18 years old, do not suffer from any mental impairment, have personal knowledge in the following and attest under penalty of perjury that I have knowledge and expertise in documents, imaging, scanners and document imaging programs. Based on my knowledge and expertise the following is true and correct

My Credentials

I have a unique background for analyzing this document. I owned a typesetting company for 11 years so I know type and form design very well. I currently own Archive Index Systems since 1993, which sells all types of document scanners worldwide and also developed document imaging software (TheRepository). I know how the scanners work. I have also sold other document imaging programs, such as Laser Fiche, Liberty and Alchemy. I have sold and installed document imaging systems in city and county governments, so I know their procedures with imaging systems and everything about the design of such programs. This will be important in understanding what has happened with Obama's Certificate of Live Birth.

Figure 1. Tiff image of the Obama's Certificate of Live Birth dated August 8, 1961, presented on TV 4/27/2011.

Figure 2. Another Person's microfilmed Certificate of Live Birth dated August 11, 1961.

What I Discovered about Obama's Certificate of Live Birth and why it is a Forgery.

What the Obama administration released is a PDF image that they are trying to pass off as a Certificate Live Birth Long Form printed on green security paper by the County Health Department. The form is a created forgery for the following reasons.

1. Curved and non-curved type. The image we are looking at was scanned in grayscale and some part in binary which cannot be on the same image. The reason I know this is because of the shadowing along the gutter (left-hand side). It also means that the county employees who did the original scanning of all the forms, did not take the individual pages out of the post binders. The result is that all the pages in that book display a parallax distorted image of the lines and type. They curve and drop down to the left. If you look at line 2 (Figure 3) on the form that says *Sex* you will notice the letters drop down one pixel but the typed word *Male* does not. Also notice the line just below *Male* drops down 3 pixels.




Figure 3. Line 2 of the form. Baseline differences.

The second incident of this parallax problem is seen in line 6c *Name of Hospital or Institution* (Figure 4). The word *Name* drops down 2 pixels, but the typed hospital name, *Kapiolani*, does not drop down at all. And again the line just below drops down 2 pixels, but not the name *Kapiolani*.




Figure 4. Line 6c at 500%. The typewriter name of the hospital does not drop down 2 pixels.

The conclusion you must come to is that the typed in form was superimposed over an existing original Certificate of Live Birth form from the county. In fact, since I found some of the form headings scanned in as binary and grayscale, the form itself is a composite but the person who created it did not flattened the image of the blank form and save it as one file before they started placing the typewriter text on the composite form. The individual(s) who perpetrated this forgery could not evidently find a blank form in the clerks imaging database, so they were forced to clean up existing forms and overlay the typewriter type we see here. The forger was also looking for certificates with the correct stamped dates and that is why I think they used more than one original form. At first I wondered why the forger didn't just typeset the entire form from scratch and overlay the type and not have to worry about the parallax problem. Then I remembered that in the early

1960s there was no phototypesetting and this form was set in hot metal from a linotype machine. The type design is Times Roman but they could never replicate the exact design. They were stuck having to use existing forms that were scanned in using binary and grayscale.

2. There is a white haloing around all the type on the form. Figure 5 is an example of this. This effect should not appear on a scanned grayscale image. Figure 6 is a grayscale image scanned in at 240 dpi. You will notice that there is no haloing effect around the type and also the security pattern is seen through the type. Figure 7 is a color image where you can clearly see the security green color through the type and no haloing. Figure 8 shows a Black and White (binary) image of the same type. The important thing to remember is that you cannot have grayscale and binary on the same scan unless the image is a composite. That means that different components of the whole image are made up of smaller parts. Figure 9 is an enlarged version of Figure 6 showing what grayscale letters should look like compared to binary.




Figure 5. Obama's form




Figure 6. Grayscale.




Figure 7. Color image.




Figure 8. Binary image.




Figure 9. An enlarged version of Figure 6 showing grayscale type.

3. The Obama Certificate is loaded with both binary and grayscale letters which is just another smoking gun that this form is a forgery. It appears the lines and some of the boxes were scanned using grayscale, but only some of the form headings were grayscale and sometimes it is only some letters. Figure 10 and Figure 4 give one example. You will notice that the *H* and, *al*, in Hospital, *I* in Institution, *(I* and again the *h* and *l* in hospital were grayscale images, but the rest of the line is binary. The typewriter line below was scanned in as a binary image. I can also tell you for certainty that the form type was scanned in at a lower resolution (≤ 200 dpi). This is because of the size of the pixels on the letters were such that the openings on the *a* and *s* on the first line are not visible and filled in.




Figure 10. showing a mixture of grayscale and binary type on the same line.

Another example is found in form box 1a, his name *BARACK*. For some reason the “R” is a grayscale image and the rest is binary (Figure 11). That means the “R” was originally on the form and the rest was not until it was added.



Figure 11. Another example of grayscale and binary on the same line.

Another example is the Certificate number itself (Figure 12). The last “1” on the form is a grayscale image but the rest of the numbers are not. This is just another example of a cut and past job. It also means we do not know what the real Certificate number is if there even is one. There are other form boxes that display the same feature, boxes: 5b, 7e, 11, 13, 16, 18a.




Figure 12. The last “1” is grayscale, but the rest are binary.

4. The Sequential Number is a fraud. I would like you to refer back to Figures 1 and 2. You will notice that Barack Obama was supposed to have been born on Friday at 7:24 p.m. August 4, 1961 and the local registrar accepted it on Tuesday August 8, 1961 and hand stamped the Certificate number “61 10641.” Then notice that the other Certificate of Susan E. Nordyke was born on Saturday at 2:12 p.m. August 5, 1961 and another registrar date stamped it on August 11, but her Certificate number is “61 10637.” Keep in mind there would be only one bates stamp machine in the office so the numbers would all be unique. There cannot be any duplicates so every Certificate has a unique serial number. Obama’s Certificate would have most likely been mailed on the following Monday, the 7th and received by the Clerk Tuesday the 8th. Susan Nordyke’s Certificate looks like it was mailed sometime earlier that week and not accepted until the 11th but she has a Certificate 4 numbers less than Obama’s. It is impossible to have Obama’s Certificate number to be four numbers higher than a Certificate that came in 3 days later.

The facts I have shown you in #3 and 4 tell me several things about how this forgery was assembled. 1. Some person(s) in the Health Department, who had access to the document imaging program, search the database for someone close to the actual birth date of Obama and found someone near the 4th of August. They may have cross referenced the death database to find someone who had died and had a birth date close to Obama’s. If you remember, the Federal Government wanted the States to cross reference the birth and death databases so the database would have that information. 2. The date stamps have two different colors and sizes (see #5 below) which indicates that both dates came from different Certificates. 3. More than one person is involved in the Hawaii Department of Health to assemble the different components that were used, do the database searches to find the right Certificates to create President Obama’s fraudulent Certificate of Live Birth and finally sign the fraudulent certificate. I believe that after all the components were

assembled they were then given to a graphic artist to actually assemble the whole thing and create the finished forgery. In short this was a conspiracy to defraud the United States.

5. Two different colors and font sizes in Form box 22 and 20 Date Accepted by Reg. General.

What is very revealing about this box and date entry is there are two different colors on both lines. Both lines were scanned using binary mode, but I see two different colors (Figure 13). What I think this is showing us is that the person who put this fraud together was looking for a form that had the right date namely "August 8 19_1." As you can see the only things that are printed in dark green (R=71, G=92, B=73) are "Date A" and "AUG -8 6." The rest of the type is in black. This tells me that the forger was working in color mode. Finally the Font size of the rubber stamp in box 22 is larger than the stamp used in box 20. This is unlikely because the same rubber stamp would have been used by the same registrar to stamp the dates in both places and sign the form in box 21. Since we have two size letters and numbers, that means these elements were taken from two separate forms that may have been years apart using different rubber stamps.




Figure 13. Two different colors, dark green and black.

The same thing is found in form box 20 "Date Accepted by Local Reg." Figure 14 again shows that the date has two different colors. The "AUG -8 196" is in dark green (R=87, G=111, B=87) and the "1" is in black. Yet again another irrefutable proof this form is a forgery. Form box 17a displays the same two color image in the word "None". The "Non" is in dark green.




Figure 14. Another example of two colors on the same line.

6. Multiple layers in the PDF file from the White House. I am not the first one to find this fact and they deserve the credit for discovering it. What they discovered is that when you open up the PDF file in Adobe Illustrator and you turn on layers, you see a long list of nine different layers that correspond to different sections of the form, including the signatures on the form. I discovered using just my Adobe Acrobat 8 Standard that I could also see the different components disappear when I enlarged the image to just 400% and used the "hand" tool to quickly move around the image. When I moved the image fast, the various type components would disappear from the form but the lines stayed just as I had concluded.

A Rebuttal to the Discovery of the Multi Layers Found in the PDF File.

The only rebuttal to the nine layers discovered in the PDF file released by the White House was a statement from a Canadian graphic artists from Quebec by the name of Jean-Claude Tremblay on April 29. It was reported by Fox News on their web site at:

<http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2011/04/29/expert-says-obamas-birth-certificate-legit/>.

He tries to excuse the multi-layers as merely an artifact of an OCR (Optical Character Recognition) engine and then saved as a PDF. There are two major reason he is wrong and I know from his statement he knows nothing about OCR engines and how they work and their file structure. First of all the Obama PDF certificate was supposed to have come directly from the Health Departments office. As stated before, the records they have would have absolutely no reason to be OCR'd and if they were asked to give the customer a PDF image it would be from their existing TIFF image stored in their document imaging program on the server. The program would have done no OCR processing at that time.

My qualifications on OCR programs are considerable. Our own document imaging program, TheRepository, has an OCR option from Expervision that is called TypeReader. We integrated TypeReader into our program but to do this we had to sign a non-disclosure statement with them and then we got their Took Kit and API. When an OCR program saves a file as a searchable PDF, the file contains three main files within it. The first file is an image file, usually a compressed Group4 TIFF. The second file is a ASCII text file and the last file is a matrix file that contains the X and Y coordinates of all the words in the document. The Starting point for the image file and the matrix file is usually the upper right-left hand corner of the image measured in pixels. The test file and matrix files would never be seen as separate layers and there is certainly no nine layers. The three files would be in a PDF "wrapper" and that's all. All OCR programs work on the same principle.

Conclusion

The Certificate of Live Birth Obama presented on television on Aril 27, 2011 is a forgery.


In witness whereof he has hereto set his hand and seal.

Name of Notary: ZACHARY S NIEBRUEGGE

Title: BRANCH Mgr, U.S. BANK

I, ZACHARY S. NIEBRUEGGE, a Notary Public of King County and the State of Washington aforesaid, hereby certify that Douglas B. Vogt personally known to me to be the affiant in the foregoing affidavit, personally appeared before me this day and having been by me duly sworn deposes and say that the facts set forth in the above affidavit are true and correct.

Witness my hand and official seal this the 10th day of May, 2011.


Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 8 / 9 / 20 11





Douglas B. Vogt

EXHIBIT 7

Democratic Party of Hawai'i

404 Ward Avenue, Suite 201
Honolulu, Hawaii 96814
Voice (808) 596-2980 Fax (808) 596-2985
<http://www.hawaiidemocrats.org>
e-mail: democrat@hawaiidemocrats.org



OFFICIAL CERTIFICATION OF NOMINATION

State of Hawaii

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the following candidates for President and Vice-President of the United States are legally qualified to serve under the provisions of the United States Constitution and are the duly chosen candidates of both the state and the national Democratic Parties by balloting at the Presidential Preference Poll and Caucus held March 7, 2000 in the State of Hawaii and by acclamation at the National Democratic Convention held August 14 -17, 2000 in Los Angeles, California.



For President of the United States

Al Gore
350 Cookeville Highway
Carthage, Tennessee 37030

For Vice President of the United States

Joe Lieberman
10 Alston Avenue
New Haven, Connecticut 06515

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF we have hereunto set our hands on this 8th day of September, 2000

	
Alfred Lordizabal	Cynthia Apana
2222 Cirron Street, #303	2222 Cirron Street, #303
Honolulu, Hawaii 96826	Honolulu, Hawaii 96826
Vice-Chair, Democratic Party of Hawaii	Secretary, Democratic Party of Hawaii

Walter M. Boen, Chair • Velma M. Santos, Vice Chair • Y. Jane Sugimura, Treasurer • Norman T. Taira, Assistant Treasurer
Joy Kobashigawa Lewis, Secretary • Meem Mimi Lee, Assistant Secretary
George R. Ariyoshi, National Committeeman • Anneli R. Aghayani, National Committeewoman

Democratic Party of Hawai'i
770 Kapiolani Boulevard, Suite 115
Honolulu, Hawai'i 96813
Phone (808) 596-8920
Fax (808) 592-8925
Email: statechair@hawaiidemocrats.org
Website: hawaiidemocrats.org

OFFICIAL CERTIFICATION OF NOMINATION

State of Hawai'i

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the following candidates for President and Vice-President of the United States are legally qualified to serve under the provisions of the United States Constitution and are the duly chosen candidates of both the state and the national Democratic Parties by balloting at the Presidential Preference Poll and Caucus held May 30, 2004 in the State of Hawaii and by acclamation at the National Democratic Convention held July 26, 2004 in Boston, Massachusetts.

For President of the United States

John Kerry
19 Louisburg Square
Boston, Massachusetts 02108

For Vice President of the United States

John Edwards
3323 Alleghany Drive
Raleigh, North Carolina 27609

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF we have hereunto set our hands on this 31st day of August, 2004.



Brickwood M. Galuteria
770 Kapiolani Boulevard, Suite 115
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Chair
Democratic Party of Hawaii



Edmund Aczon
770 Kapiolani Boulevard, Suite 115
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Secretary
Democratic Party of Hawaii

Brickwood M. Galuteria, Chair • Stephanie Chigashi, Vice Chair • Edmund Aczon, Secretary • Jane Sugimura, Treasurer
Richard Port, National Committeeman • Marie D. Strazar, National Committeewoman



Democratic Party of Hawaii
1050 Ala Moana Blvd. #2660
Honolulu, HI 96814
Phone (808)596-2980
Fax (808)596-2985
Email: dphstaff@inbox.com
Website: www.hawaii.democrats.org

OFFICIAL CERTIFICATION OF NOMINATION

State of Hawai'i

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the following candidates for President and Vice-President of the United States are legally qualified to serve under the provisions of the national Democratic Parties balloting at the Presidential Preference Poll and Caucus held on February 19th, 2008 in the State of Hawaii and by acclamation at the National Democratic Convention held August 27, 2008 in Denver, Colorado.

OFFICE OF ELECTIONS

'08 AUG 28 AM 11:40


For President of the United States

Barack Obama
P.O. Box 8102
Chicago, IL, 60680


For Vice President of the United States

Joe Biden
1209 Barley Mill Rd.
Wilmington, DE 19807

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF we have hereunto set our hands on this 27th day of August, 2008.



Brian E. Schatz
Chair
Democratic Party of Hawaii
1050 Ala Moana Blvd. #2660
Honolulu, HI 96814



Lynne Matusow
Secretary
Democratic Party of Hawaii
1050 Ala Moana Blvd. #2660
Honolulu, HI 96814

DEMOCRATIC PARTY OF HAWAII

1050 Ala Moana Blvd, Suite D26 ♦ Honolulu, HI 96814 ♦ Phone: (808) 596-2980 ♦ Fax: (808) 596-2985





FILED

2004 AUG -2 AM 9:57

DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE
OFFICIAL CERTIFICATION OF NOMINATION
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
DIVISION OF ELECTIONS


THIS IS TO CERTIFY that at the National Convention of the Democratic Party of the United States of America, held in Boston, Massachusetts on July 26 though 29, 2004, the following were duly nominated as candidates of said Party for President and Vice President of the United States respectively:

For President of the United States


John F. Kerry
19 Louisburg Square
Boston, Massachusetts 02108

For Vice President of the United States

John Edwards
3323 Alleghany Drive
Raleigh, North Carolina 27609




Chair, Democratic National
Convention



Secretary, Democratic National
Convention

Commonwealth of Massachusetts)
)
County of Suffolk) ss:

Before me on this 29th day of July 2004 personally appeared BILL RICHARDSON AND ALICE TRAVIS GERMOND, to me known or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence, which were for each licenses _____, to be the persons who executed the foregoing instrument as Chair and Secretary, respectively, of the 2004 Democratic National Convention, in behalf of the 2004 Democratic National Convention, and each having acknowledged that they executed the same as their own free act and deed, and as the free act and deed of said 2004 Democratic National Convention.



Notary Public

My commission expires: _____
Lynn B. Gaseidnes, Notary Public
My Commission Expires August 28, 2009



DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE

OFFICIAL CERTIFICATION OF NOMINATION

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that at the National Convention of the Democratic Party of the United States of America, held in Denver, Colorado on August 25 through 28, 2008, the following were duly nominated as candidates of said Party for President and Vice President of the United States respectively:

For President of the United States

Barack Obama

5046 South Greenwood Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60615

For Vice President of the United States

Joe Biden


1209 Barley Mill Road
Wilmington, Delaware 19807

Nancy Pelosi
Chair, Democratic National
Convention

Alice Travis Germond
Secretary, Democratic National
Convention

City and County of Denver)
) ss:
State of Colorado)

Subscribed and sworn to before me in the City and County of Denver, State of Colorado, this 28th day of August, 2008.



My Commission Expires September 06, 2011

Notary Public

September 06, 2011
Commission expiration date



DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE

OFFICIAL CERTIFICATION OF NOMINATION

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that at the National Convention of the Democratic Party of the United States of America, held in Denver, Colorado on August 25 through 28, 2008, the following were duly nominated as candidates of said Party for President and Vice President of the United States respectively and that the following candidates for President and Vice President of the United States are legally qualified to serve under the provisions of the United States Constitution:

For President of the United States


Barack Obama
5046 South Greenwood Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60615


For Vice President of the United States

Joe Biden
1209 Barley Mill Road
Wilmington, Delaware 19807

OFFICE OF ELECTIONS


'08 AUG 28 AM 1:40



Nancy Pelosi
Chair, Democratic National
Convention


Alice Travis Germond
Secretary, Democratic National
Convention

City and County of Denver)
) SS:
State of Colorado)

Subscribed and sworn to before me in the City and County of Denver, State of Colorado, this 28th day of August, 2008.




Notary Public
September 6, 2011
Commission expiration date